### <u>PUBLIC COMMENTS ON DRAFT ADVISORY OPINIONS</u>

Members of the public may submit written comments on draft advisory opinions.

DRAFTS A and B of ADVISORY OPINION 2012-22 are now available for comment. They were requested by Andrew Davis, on behalf of skimmerhat, and are scheduled to be considered by the Commission at its public meeting on August 2, 2012. The meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. and will be held in the 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Hearing Room at the Federal Election Commission, 999 E Street, NW, Washington, DC. Individuals who plan to attend the public meeting and who require special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the Commission Secretary, at (202) 694-1040, at least 72 hours prior to the meeting date.

If you wish to comment on DRAFTS A and B of ADVISORY OPINION 2012-22, please note the following requirements:

- 1) Comments must be in writing, and they must be both legible and complete.
- 2) Comments must be submitted to the Office of the Commission Secretary by hand delivery or fax ((202) 208-3333), with a duplicate copy submitted to the Office of General Counsel by hand delivery or fax ((202) 219-3923).
- 3) Comments must be received by noon (Eastern Time) on August 1, 2012.
- 4) The Commission will generally not accept comments received after the deadline. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case-by-case basis in special circumstances.
- 5) All timely received comments will be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Records Office and will be posted on the Commission's website at http://saos.nictusa.com/saos/searchao.

### REOUESTOR APPEARANCES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

The Commission has implemented a pilot program to allow advisory opinion requestors, or their counsel, to appear before the Commission to answer questions at the opan meeting at which the Commission considers the draft advisory opinion. This program took affect on July 7, 2009.

### Under the program:

- 1) A requestor has an automatic right to appear before the Commission if any public draft of the advisory opinion is made available to the requestor or requestor's counsel less than one week before the public meeting at which the advisory opinion request will be considered. Under these circumstances, no advance written notice of intent to appear is required. This one-week period is shortened to three days for advisory uninions under the expedited twenty-day procedure in 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(2).
- 2) A requestor must provide written notice of intent to appear before the Commission if all public drafts of the advisory opinion are made available to requestor or requestor's counsel at least one week before the public meeting at which the Commission will consider the advisory opinion request. This one-week period is shortened to three days for advisory opinions under the expedited twenty-day procedure in 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(2). The notice of intent to appear must be received by the Office of the Commission Secretary by hand delivery, email (Secretary@fee.gov), or fax ((202) 208-3333), no later than 48 hours before the scheduled public meeting. Requestors are responsible for ensuring that the Office of the Commission Secretary receives timely notice.
- 3) Requestors or their counsel unable to appear physically at a public meeting may participate by telephone, subject to the Commission's technical capabilities.
- 4) Requestors or their counsel who appear before the Commission may do so only for the limited purpose of addressing questions raised by the Commission at the public meeting. Their appearance does not guarantee that any questions will be asked.

## **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION**

Press inquiries: Judith Ingram

Press Officer (202) 694-1220

Commission Secretary: Shawn Woodhead Werth

(202) 694-1040

Comment Submission Procedure: Kevin Deeley

Acting Associate General Counsel

(202) 694-1650

Other inquiries:

To obtain copies of documents related to Advisory Opinion 2012-22, contact the Public Records Office at (202) 694-1120 or (800) 424-9530, or visit the Commission's website at http://saos.nictusa.com/saos/searchao.

### **ADDRESSES**

Office of the Commission Secretary Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Office of General Counsel ATTN: Kevin Deeley, Esq. Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463





# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

2012 JUL 26 PH 5: 10

**AGENDA ITEM** 

July 26, 2012

For Meeting of 8-2-12

# **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

The Commission

FROM:

Anthony Herman General Counsel

Kevin Deeley

Acting Associate General Counsel

Amy Rothstein AR by K & Assistant General Counsel

Theodore Lutz

**Attorney** 

Subject:

AO 2012-22 (skimmerhat)

Attached are proposed drafts of the subject advisory opinion. We have been asked to have these drafts placed on the Open Session agenda for August 2, 2012.

Attachment

1 2	ADVISORY OPINION 2012-22
3	Mr. Andrew Davis
4	skimmerhat
5	281 Summer Drive NE DRAFT A
6	Atlanta, GA 30328
7	
8	
9	Dear Mr. Davis:
10	
11	We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of skimmerhat,
12	concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act (the "Act") and
13	Commission regulations to skimmerhat's planned web-based contribution platform. The
14	Commission concludes that the proposed platform would be permissible under the Act
15	and Commission regulations and that skimmerhat may grant candidates and their
16	authorized committees limited access to manage their profiles on the platform if they
17	compensate skimmerhat at the usual and normal charge for that service.
18	Background
19	The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on
20	June 20, 2012.
21	Skimmerhat is a for-profit corporation registered in the State of Delaware. 1
22	Skimmerhat proposes to establish a web-based platform – skimmerhat.com (the "site") –
23	that will "provid[e] an easy way for users to find candidates with whom they have shared
24	values" and "engage small donors who previously have been sitting 'on the sidelines' in
25	the electoral process."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Skimmerhat is owned and operated by three individuals, none of whom is a Federal candidate.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Visitors to and registered members of the site ("users") will be able to use the skimmerhat platform to search for Federal candidates using any of three primary search

3 criteria: geographic location, ideological similarities, or single-issue positions.

Utilizing the geographic location feature, users will be presented with a map of the United States and will be able to search for Federal candidates by location. As a user pinpoints the location of a race in which he or she is interested, candidates will be listed, along with their political party, as either "incumbents" or "challengers." If available, photographs of candidates will also be displayed. Also listed at this level is his or her "popularity" among members of the site.<sup>2</sup>

Users may also search for candidates with whom they are ideologically similar by taking a "candidate matching survey," which poses a series of "yes/no" ideological questions to users. These answers are then compared to the positions of all Federal candidates.<sup>3</sup> A list of candidates is then displayed on the results page, ranked from highest to lowest, based upon the matching percentage with the user.

Finally, users can search for candidates based on their position on a single issue.

Using skimmerhat's list of political issues, a user can find any candidate that matches the user's position on an individual issue.

Once matched with Federal candidates, users will be directed to a "candidate page" that is hosted on the site. Every Federal candidate will have his or her own

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An up/down voting system on which to indicate popularity with candidates will be available to registered members of the site.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> skimmerhat will use publicly available information to discern the position of candidates on various issues. Where such information is not available, or the candidate's position is too nuanced to accurately fit within a "yes/no" framework, the question will be left as "no opinion" to avoid misrepresentation of a candidate's position. The hinary nature of the survey is designed to simplify the matching process. In the future, though, skimmerhat intends to expand upon this matching feature to allow for more nuanced answers.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 candidate page, which will include a photo, biographical information, campaign finance

2 information, recent updates, and issue positions. Skimmerhat staff will curate candidate

data using information available from the candidate's official campaign website, public

records (such as voting records), or other educational sources. Campaign finance data

5 and other candidate information may be curated and displayed through the use of

application programming interfaces ("API")<sup>4</sup> by trusted third-party resources.

Each candidate page will also feature an electronic contribution form, which provides users with a way to make contributions to the Federal candidates with whom they are matched. Contributions will be limited to \$2,500. Users will also be notified by language on the contribution form that contributions in aggregate amounts in excess of \$2,500 per candidate, per election cycle, are not acceptable. The information requested on the contribution form will include the contributor's name, mailing address, occupation and name of employer. This information will be required for each contribution, regardless of amount. If any one of these fields is left blank, the contribution will not be accepted. Additionally, contributor information will be securely stored in skimmerhat's electronic logs for reporting and andit purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> APIs are ways to share and display data across online platforms. They can take many different forms, depending on the context and functionality of their use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The donation form will also include the following disclaimer: "Federal law requires political committees to report the name, mailing address, occupation and name of employer for each individual whose contributions aggregate in excess of \$200 in a calendar year."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Before the donation is accepted, each user will be required to manually select in the affirmative a check box for the following attestation:

By nhecking this box, I confirm that the following statements are true and accumate:

1. This contribution is made from my own funds and not those of another.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 Skimmerhat will assess an eight percent "processing and convenience" fee per 2 transaction, which will cover credit card processing and provide a profit to the company. 3 This eight percent "convenience fee" will be applied, in a separate field, in addition to the 4 contribution amount. This convenience fee will be assessed in a manner similar to that of 5 a sales tax at the point of purchase for commercial goods. The total transaction amount 6 will be the contribution plus the eight percent fee. By assessing the convenience fee in 7 this manuer, skimmerhat will pass the user's full intended contribution amount to the 8 recipient candidate, while charging the user the fee.

Once the user accepts the transaction, contributions will be routed to skimmerhat's merchant account, and the eight percent fee will be directed to the company's separate business account. No funds will be commingled in skimmerhat's corporate treasury account. All disbursements of funds will be taken directly from skimmerhat's merchant account, and not from the company's corporate treasury account. Contributions will be forwarded to candidate campaigns. Along with the forwarded contributions, a transmittal report will be sent to the recipient candidate committee to facilitate the candidate committee's reporting of contributions. Should a candidate committee reject or refuse contributions, skimmerhat will make refunds to the original

<sup>2.</sup> This contribution is not from the general treasury funds of a corporation, labor organization or national bank.

<sup>3.</sup> I am not a Federal government contractor.

<sup>4.</sup> I am not a foreign national who lacks permanent resident status in the United States.

<sup>5.</sup> I am over the age of 18, and if not, I am a minor making this contribution of my own volition, and not at the request or direction of another individual.

If this box is not checked, the contribution will not be accepted. In addition, skimmerhat plans to make an effort to screen, and reject, all contributions from foreign nationals, government contractors, national banks, and corporations organized by authority of any law of Congress.

1 contributor and disable the contribution form on that candidate's page to prevent further 2 contributions.

Skimmerhat will provide candidates with the option of assuming limited managerial control over basic biographical information on their candidate pages, as well as setting positions on issues. Before gaining access to their candidate pages, candidates or persons associated their authorized committees must first be approved by skimmerhat to ensure they are "legitimate representatives of the campaign." Candidates and their authorized committees must also agree to Terms of Service, which explicitly prohibit the use of the skimmerhat platform to conduct fundraising outside of skimmerhat or "for any activity that can be reasonably deemed outside of that which enhances the quality and accuracy of candidate information available to users." Should skimmerhat discover any activity or contribution that violates its Terms of Service, candidates will be warned, and the activity or content removed from the website. skimmerhat will retain the right to reassume control over candidate pages should it deem a permission change necessary.

#### Questions Presented

- 1. Under the proposed plan, can skimmerhat receive carmarked contributions from individuals and forward those contributions to Federal candidates without committing an impermissible action under the Act?
- 2. Would skimmerhat's processing and convenience fee of eight percent count towards a user's individual contribution limit to a candidate?
- 3. Can skimmerhat provide factual information about candidates to its users?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Skimmerhat has not yet drafted the "Terms of Service" referred to here. It plans to finalize them before launching its site.

1	<b>4</b> .	Under the proposed plan, could candidates be granted limited access to manage
2		their profiles without causing skimmerhat to provide a material service to the
3		candidate, creating an impermissible action under the Act?
4	<i>5</i> .	Can skimmerhat use Federal Election Commission data pertaining to candidate
5		finance information that is either compiled directly by skimmerhat staff, or
6		curated through APIs?
7	<i>6</i> .	Under the proposed plan, is skimmerhat required to file any reports with the
8		Federal Election Commission?
9	Legal	Analysis and Conclusions
10	1.	Under the proposed plan, can skimmerhat receive earmarked contributions from
11		individuals and forward those contributions to Federal candidates without
12		committing an impermissible action under the Act?
13		Yes, skimmerhat may receive earmarked contributions from individuals and
14	forwa	rd those contributions to Federal candidates without engaging in an impermissible
15	action	under the Act.
16		The Act and Commission regulations prohibit corporations from making a
17	contri	bution in connection with a Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 441b(a); 11 CFR
18	114.2	(b)(1). A "contribution" includes any "direct or indirect payment, distribution, loan
19	advan	ce, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or anything of value to any
20	candio	late, campaign committee, or political party or organization, in connection with any
21	[Fede	ral] election." 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2); 11 CFR 114.2(b)(1); see also 2 U.S.C.
22	431(8	)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.52(a). "Anything of value" includes in-kind contributions,

20

21

22

1 such as the provision of goods or services without charge or at a charge that is less than 2 the usual and normal charge. See 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1). "Usual and normal charge" is 3 defined as the price of goods in the market from which they ordinarily would have been 4 purchased at the time of the contribution, or the commercially reasonable rate prevailing 5 at the time the services were rendered. See 11 CFR 100.52(d)(2). 6 Skimmerhat proposes to transmit contributions to political committees without 7 receiving payment from political committees. The Commission has previously 8 concluded that companies that process contributions to political committees as a service 9 to the political committees must be compensated for those services to avoid making in-10 kind contributions. See Advisory Opinion 2007-04 (Atlatl). Companies that process 11 contributions as a service to contributors, however, do not need to be compensated for these services by the recipient political committees because the companies are not 12 13 providing any services or anything of value to the recipient political committees. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (GivingSphere). 14 15 Here, skimmerhat will provide a commercial service to its users when it transmits 16 their contributions to candidates. As an initial matter, skimmerhat proposes to provide its 17 services to its users on a strictly nonpurtisan, issue-neutral basic. skimmothat will operate 18 exclusively on a commercial basis, and the transaction fee that it will charge will cover its

The commercial service, further, will be provided to individual contributors, not candidates. Skimmerhat proposes to "provide services to contributors that [extend] well beyond the mere processing of contributions." Advisory Opinion 2007-04 (Atlatl).

credit card processing costs and provide a profit to the company.

į

Ė

1 Through its platform, skimmerhat's users will be able to search for and identify recipient 2 candidates by geographic location, ideological similarities, and issue positions. Users 3 will make contributions to those candidates from skimmerhat's website, rather than from 4 the candidates' own websites, and otherwise irrespective of candidate involvement with 5 skimmerhat's candidate pages. And, further, upon agreeing to skimmerhat's terms of 6 service, skimmerhat will transmit users' funds only at the request of its users, not 7 pursuant to negotiated agreements with political committees. Compare Advisory 8 Opinion 2011-19 (GivingSphere) (hosting a database and website through which 9 customers identify recipients and transmit funds) with Advisory Opinion 2007-04 (Atlatl) (proposing only to process online credit card contributions initiated on political 10 11 committees' websites). Therefore, skimmerhat may transmit its users' contributions to candidates without its services constituting a prohibited in-kind contribution to those 12 13 candidates. 14 Skimmerhat's proposed service closely resembles the services approved by the Commission in Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (GivingSphere). In that advisory opinion, as 15 here, a corporation proposed to develop a web-based platform through which its 16 customers could identify political committees and transfer contributions to nolitical 17 committees. Id. Because, as discussed above, skimmerhat will provide services to its 18 19 customers and not political committees, skimmerhat's proposal is analogous to widely 20 available delivery services, such as United Parcel Service, which a contributor may use to

- deliver a contribution, or an electronic bill-pay service, such as those provided by banks.<sup>8</sup>
- 2 See also Advisory Opinion 2011-06 (Democracy Engine). Thus, as further explained in
- 3 the response to Question 6 below, skimmerhat would also not be operating as a conduit or
- 4 intermediary for contributions. See 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(8); 11 CFR 110.6(b)(2)(ii).
- 5 Accordingly, skimmerhat may undertake its proposal without engaging in an
- 6 impermissible action under the Act and Commission regulations.
- 7 2. Would skimmerhat's processing and convenience fee of eight peraent count
- 8 towards a user's individual contribution limit to a candidate?
- 9 No, skimmerhat's processing and convenience fee of eight percent will not count
- 10 towards a user's individual contribution limits to a candidate.
- 11 As discussed in the answer to Question 1, above, the Commission has
- 12 distinguished between situations in which a company provides services to recipient
- 13 political committees, and situations in which a company provides services to its
- customers. In Advisory Opinion 2007-04 (Atlatl), the contractual relationship was
- 15 between the company that processed the contributions and the recipient political
- 16 committee. The Commission concluded that the amount of contributions to political
- 17 committees must include fees paid by contributors to the company. In contrast, in
- 18 Advisory Opinion 2011-06 (Democracy Engine), the Commission concluded that the
- amount of the contributions would not include processing fees paid by contributors,
- 20 because the services provided by the vendor were "at the request and for the benefit of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Consistent with this analogy, skimmerhat makes clear that, if a candidate or committee refuses to accept a contribution, it will return a contributor's funds and it will prevent candidates from using the skimmerhat platform to raise contributions outside of skimmerbat.

ļ

i

- 1 the contributors, not of the recipient political committees." Thus, fees paid for those
- 2 services did not "relieve the recipient political committees of a financial burden they
- 3 would otherwise have had to pay for themselves," and were not contributions to the
- 4 recipient political committees. Advisory Opinion 2011-06 (Democracy Engine).
- 5 Similarly, here, skimmerhat will provide its services at the request and for the
- 6 benefit of its customers, and not the recipient political committees. Therefore, because
- 7 payment of the convenience fee will not relieve any recipient political committee of a
- 8 financial burden that it would otherwise have had to pay for itself, the payment of the
- 9 convenience fee by the subscribers will not constitute a contribution by the subscribers to
- 10 any recipient political committee.
- 3. Can skimmerhat provide factual information about candidates to its users?
- Yes, skimmerhat may provide factual information about candidates to its users.
- 13 Skimmerhat's business model includes providing its customers with tools that
- 14 they can use to gain information about and to evaluate potential recipient candidates. To
- this end, skimmerhat proposes to enable users to identify candidates by geographic
- location, through a candidate matching survey, and by their positions on issues. A
- candidate page will include a photograph, biographical information, campaign finance
- information, recent updates, issue positions, and a donation page. Skimmerhat will
- initially develop the pages using information from candidates' websites, public records,
- and through the use of APIs. Skimmerhat intends to generate page content on a
- 21 nonpartisan, issue-neutral basis and has a vested commercial interest in seeking
- 22 participation of users from all political parties and ideological backgrounds.

1	The Act and Commission regulations do not address whether a commercial entity
2	may provide to its customers in the regular course of business the type of information that
3	skimmerhat proposes to provide to its customers. The Commission has already
4	concluded, however, that skimmerhat may forward contributions to candidates as a
5	service to its customers, see Question 1, and the information to be provided customers
6	will supplement that service. Skimmerhat's proposal is similar to the one emsidered by
7	the Commission in Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (GivingSphere), in which a corporation
8	wished to provide basic factual information about candidates to its customers for their use
9	in determining to whom to make contributions through the corporation's web platform.
10	The Commission approved the proposal because the provision of factual information to
11	customers appeared to be a corollary of creating a web platform through which users
12	could identify political committees and transmit contributions. Advisory Opinion
13	2011-19 (GivingSphere).
14	Accordingly, skimmerhat may provide factual information about Federal
15	candidates to its users as proposed.
16	4. Under the proposed plan, could candidates be granted limited access to manage
17	their profiles without causing skimmerhat to provide a material service to the
18	candidate, creating an impermissible action under the Act?
19	Yes, candidates could be granted limited access to manage their profiles so long
20	as they compensate skimmerhat at the usual and normal charge for that service.
21	The Act and Commission regulations prohibit corporations from making a
22	contribution in connection with a Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 441b(a); 11 CFR

i

1 114.2(b)(1). The prohibition on corporate contributions extends to "any services, or

2 anything of value." 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2) (emphasis added); see also Advisory Opinion

2010-21 (ReCellular). The Commission has on multiple occasions reaffirmed the

distinction between companies that provide services to political committees and those

that provide services to their users. When a company provides a service to a political

committee, the company must be compensated for that service to avoid making an in-

kind contribution. Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (GivingSphere) (internal citations

8 omitted).

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

As discussed above, skimmerhat's web-based contribution platform is provided exclusively as a service to its users; skimmerhat does not "seek to . . . provide a service to[] Federal candidates or candidate committees." Indeed, it is that aspect of skimmerhat's proposal which is critical to the Commission's determination that the processing and convenience fee of eight percent will not count towards a user's individual contribution limits to a candidate. See Question 2.

Skimmerhat's proposal to allow candidates "the option of assuming limited managerial control over [their candidate pages]," however, would result in skimmerhat providing a service to Federal enadidates. Thus, skimmerhat would need to be paid for the usual and normal charge of that service to avoid the making of an in-kind contribution. See Advisory Opinion 2010-21 (ReCellular) (concluding that recipient political committees must reimburse a corporation for the corporation's cost of emailing the committees contributor data unless "the cost is borne by the consumers").

Can skimmerhat use Federal Election Commission data pertaining to candidate
 finance information that is either compiled directly by skimmerhat staff, or
 curated through APIs?

Yes, skimmerhat may use Commission data pertaining to candidate finance information that is either compiled directly by skimmerhat staff or curated through APIs.

Political committees must file certain statements, notices, and reports with the Commission, which the Commission makes public. 2 U.S.C. 434, 438(a)(4); see also 11 CFR Part 104. The Act provides that "any information copied from such reports or statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any political committee to solicit contributions from such committee." 2 U.S.C. 438(a)(4); see also 11 CFR 104.15(a).

The prohibition on the use of information in Commission filings serves to prevent information about individual contributors from being sold or used for commercial purposes. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2004-24 (NGP Software); Advisory Opinion 1983-44 (Cass Communications); Advisory Opinion 1981-38 (Campac Publications); Advisory Opinion 1980-101 (Weinberger). The prohibition is a "broad prophylactic measure intended to protect the privacy of the contributors about whom information is disclosed in FEC public records." Advisory Opinion 2003-24 (NCTFK). The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The legislative history of the 1979 Amendments to the Act indicates that Congress intended the prohibition on the copying sad use of names am! addresses to protect individual contributors. H.R. Rep. No. 422 at 23 (1979). Congress was concerned that the Act's reporting requirements would "open up the citizens who are generous and public spirited enough to support our political activities to all kinds of harassment." 117 Cong. Rec. 30057 (1971) (statement of Sen. Bellmon). Senator Bellmon stated that the amendment prohibiting the use of contributor information was intended to "protect the privacy of the generally very public-spirited citizens who make a contribution to a political campaign or a political party." *Id*.

with the Commission.

1	Commission has allowed the sale or use of the name and address of political committees
2	for commercial purposes. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2004-24 (NGP Software);
3	Advisory Opinion 1989-19 (Johnson); Advisory Opinion 1980-101 (Weinberger). For
4	example, in Advisory Opinion 1980-101 (Weinberger), the Commission approved the
5	publication and sale of a "directory of comprehensive information concerning [political
6	committees]" so long as the directory did not "identify individuals who made
7	contributions to the [political committees.]" See also Advisory Opinium 1989-19
8	(Johnson) (approving a proposal to sell portions of political committee reports that "did
9	not contain the names of individual contributors").
10	Skimmerhat proposes to provide its customers with factual information about
11	candidates, including campaign finance data obtained from reports filed with the
12	Commission, on its candidate pages. Skimmerhat represents that it will use only
13	campaign finance data regarding candidate fundraising totals and will not use information
14	regarding individual contributors.
15	Accordingly, because the information that skimmerhat seeks to use concerns
16	political committees, rather than individual contributors, skimmerhat may use
17	Commission data pertaining to candidate finance information that is either compiled
18	directly by skimmerhat staff or curated through APIs.
19	6. Under the proposed plan, is skimmerhat required to file any reports with the
20	Federal Election Commission?
21	No, under the proposed plan, skimmerhat will not be required to file any reports

1	The Act and Commission regulations require certain persons to file reports with
2	the Commission. For example, a "treasurer of a political committee shall file reports of
3	receipts and disbursements." See 2 U.S.C. 434(a)(1); 11 CFR 104.1. Persons who spend
4	above threshold amounts on independent expenditures or electioneering communications
5	must file reports with the Commission. See 2 U.S.C. 434(c), (f); 11 CFR 104.20, 109.10.
6	Basetl on the facts set forth in the request, skimmerhat will be a commercial
7	service provider, not a political committee, and therefore will not be subject to the
8	reporting requirements for political committees. See 2 U.S.C. 434(a)(1); 11 CFR 104.1.
9	Skimmerhat also will not engage in express advocacy. Thus, it will not be subject to the
10	reporting requirements for persons making independent expenditures. See 2 U.S.C.
11	431(17) ("The term 'independent expenditure' means an expenditure by a person
12	expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate"); see
13	also 11 CFR 100.16. Moreover, given that all relevant communications will take place
14	on the Internet, skimmerhat's proposal will not implicate the reporting requirements for
15	persons making electioneering communications. See 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(A)(i) ("The term
16	'electioneering communications' means any broadcast, cable, or satellite communications
17	"); see also 11 CFR 100.29.
18	The Act end Commission regulations also require intermediaries or conduits of
19	earmarked contributions to report the original source of such a contribution and the
20	recipient candidate or authorized committee. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(8); 11 CFR 110.6(c). The
21	provisions addressing earmarked contributions prevent the circumvention of contribution
22	limits [and prohibitions] by ensuring that "contributions to candidates count toward

1 FECA contribution limits . . . regardless of whether contributors give contributions 2 directly to candidates or whether contributors earmark, or otherwise direct, the 3 contributions to candidates through a conduit or intermediary." Advisory Opinion 2006-4 30 (ActBlue); see also Federal Election Commission v. National Republican Senatorial 5 Committee, 761 F.Supp. 813, 819-20 (D.D.C. 1991) rev'd on other grounds, 966 F.2d 6 1471 (D.C. Cir. 1992). The contributions made through the skimmerhat platform are not 7 contributions to an intermediary and earmarked for a candidate or authorized committee; 8 they are direct contributions to the candidate or authorized committee made via a 9 commercial processing service. In past Advisory Opinions, the Commission has 10 concluded that certain electronic transactional services that assist a contributor in making 11 a contribution do not run afoul of the prohibition on corporations acting as a conduit or 12 intermediary for earmarked contributions because certain electronic transactional services 13 are so essential to the flow of modern commerce that they are akin to "delivery services, bill-paying services, or check writing services." Advisory Opinion 2011-06 (Democracy 14 15 Engine). For that reason, the Commission has not required commercial processing agents 16 to file reports as an Intermediary or conduit of earmarked contributions. See e.g., 17 Advisory Opinion 2012-17 (Red Blue T); Advisory Opinion 2012-09 (Points for 18 Politics); Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (Giving Sphere); Advisory Opinion 2011-06 19 (Democracy Engine). 20 Thus, skimmerhat's proposal would not subject it to any reporting requirements 21 under the Act or Commission regulations. However, under the proposal skimmerhat 22 would receive a contribution for an authorized political committee, it would be required

1	to forward the contribution within 10 days of it being made. 11 CFR 102.8(a).
2	Moreover, if the contribution is in excess of \$50, skimmerhat must forward certain
3	identifying information to the recipient committee. Id.
4	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the
5	Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your
6	request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any
7	of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a
8	conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that
9	conclusion as support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific
10	transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the
11	transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on
12	this advisory opinion. See 2 U.S.C. 437f(c)(1)(B). Please note that the analysis or
13	conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the
14	law including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions, and case law.
15	The cited advisory opinions are available on the Commission's website, www.fec.gov, or
16	directly from the Commission's Advisory Opinion searchable database at
17	http://www.fec.gov/searchao.
18 19 20 21	On behalf of the Commission,
22 23 24	Caroline Hunter Chair

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Andrew Davis skimmerhat 281 Summer Drive NE Atlanta, GA 30328  DRAFT B
9 10	Dear Mr. Davis:
11	We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of skimmerhat,
12	concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act (the "Act") and
13	Commission regulations to skimmerhat's planned web-based contribution platform. The
14	Commission concludes that the proposed platform would be permissible under the Act
15	and Commission regulations.
16	Background
17	The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on
18	June 20, 2012.
19	Skimmerhat is a for-profit corporation registered in the State of Delaware. <sup>1</sup>
20	Skimmerhat proposes to establish a web-based platform – skimmerhat.com (the "site") –
21	that will "provid[e] an easy way for users to find candidates with whom they have shared
22	values" and "engage small donors who previously have been sitting 'on the sidelines' in
23	the electoral process."
24	Visitors to and registered members of the site ("users") will be able to use the
25	skimmerhat platform to search for Federal candidates using any of three primary search
26	criteria: geographic location, ideological similarities, or single-issue positions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Skimmerhat is owned and operated by three individuals, none of whom is a Federal candidate.

"popularity" among members of the site.<sup>2</sup>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Utilizing the geographic location feature, users will be presented with a map of the United States and will be able to search for Federal candidates by location. As a user pinpoints the location of a race in which he or she is interested, candidates will be listed, along with their political party, as either "incumbents" or "challengers." If available, photographs of candidates will also be displayed. Also listed at this level is his or her

Users may also search for candidates with whom they are ideologically similar by taking a "candidate matching survey," which poses a series of "yes/no" ideological questions to users. These answers are then compared to the positions of all Federal candidates.<sup>3</sup> A list of candidates is then displayed on the results page, ranked from highest to lowest, based upon the matching percentage with the user.

Finally, users can search for candidates based on their position on a single issue.

Using skimmerhat's list of political issues, a user can find any candidate that matches the user's position on an individual issue.

Once matched with Federal candidates, users will be directed to a "candidate page" that is hosted on the site. Every Federal candidate will have his or her own candidate page, which will include a photo, biographical information, campaign finance information, recent updates, and issue positions. Skimmerhat staff will curate candidate data using information available from the candidate's official campaign website, public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An up/down voting system on which to indicate popularity with candidates will be available to registered members of the site.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> skimmerhat will use publicly available information to discern the position of candidates on various issues. Where such information is not available, or the candidate's position is too nuanced to accurately fit within a "yes/no" framework, the question will be left as "no opinion" to avoid misrepresentation of a candidate's position. The hinary nature of the survey is designed to simplify the matching process. In the future, though, skimmerhat intends to expand upon this matching feature to allow for more nuanced answers.

- 1 records (such as voting records), or other educational sources. Campaign finance data
- 2 and other candidate information may be curated and displayed through the use of
- application programming interfaces ("API")<sup>4</sup> by trusted third-party resources.
- 4 Each candidate page will also feature an electronic contribution form, which
- 5 provides users with a way to make contributions to the Federal candidates with whom
- 6 they are matched. Contributions will be limited to \$2,500. Users will also be notified by
- 7 language un the contribution form that contributions in aggregate amounts in excess of
- 8 \$2,500 per candidate, per election cycle, are not acceptable. The information requested
- 9 on the contribution form will include the contributor's name, mailing address, occupation
- and name of employer. This information will be required for each contribution,
- regardless of amount.<sup>5</sup> If any one of these fields is left blank, the contribution will not be
- 12 accepted.<sup>6</sup> Additionally, contributor information will be securely stored in skimmerhat's
- 13 electronic logs for reporting and audit purposes.

By checking this box, I confirm that the following statements are true and accurate:

- 1. This contribution is made from my own funds and not those of another.
- 2. This contribution is not from the general treasury funds of a corporation, labor organization or national bank.
- 3. I am not a Federal government contractor.

i

- 4. I am not a foreign national who lacks permanent resident status in the United States.
- 5. I am over the age of 18, and if not, I am a minor making this contribution of my own volition, and not at the request or direction of another individual.

If this box is not checked, the contribution will not be accepted. In addition, skimmerhat plans to make an effort to screen, and reject, all contributions from foreign nationals, government contractors, national banks, and corporations organized by authority of any law of Congress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> APIs are ways to share and display data across online platforms. They can take many different forms, depending on the context and functionality of their use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The donation form will also include the following disclaimer: "Federal law requires political committees to report the name, mailing address, occupation and name of employer for each individual whose contributions aggregate in excess of \$200 in a calendar year."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Before the donation is accepted, each user will be required to manually select in the affirmative a check box for the following attestation:

Skimmerhat will assess an eight percent "processing and convenience" fee per transaction, which will cover credit card processing and provide a profit to the company. This eight percent "convenience fee" will be applied, in a separate field, in addition to the contribution amount. This convenience fee will be assessed in a manner similar to that of a sales tax at the point of purchase for commercial goods. The total transaction amount will be the contribution plus the eight percent fee. By assessing the convenience fee in this nranuer, skimmerhat will pass the user's full imended contribution arrount to the recipient candidate, while charging the user the fee.

Once the user accepts the transaction, contributions will be routed to skimmerhat's merchant account, and the eight percent fee will be directed to the company's separate business account. No funds will be commingled in skimmerhat's corporate treasury account. All disbursements of funds will be taken directly from skimmerhat's merchant account, and not from the company's corporate treasury account. Contributions will be forwarded to candidate campaigns. Along with the forwarded contributions, a transmittal report will be sent to the recipient candidate committee to facilitate the candidate committee's reporting of contributions. Should a candidate committee reject or refuse contributions, skimmerhat will make refunds to the original contributor and disable the contribution form on that candidate's page to prevent further contributions.

Skimmerhat will provide candidates with the option of assuming limited managerial control over basic biographical information on their candidate pages, as well as setting positions on issues. Before gaining access to their candidate pages, candidates or persons associated their authorized committees must first be approved by skimmerhat

- 1 to ensure they are "legitimate representatives of the campaign." Candidates and their
- 2 authorized committees must also agree to Terms of Service, which explicitly prohibit the
- 3 use of the skimmerhat platform to conduct fundraising outside of skimmerhat or "for any
- 4 activity that can be reasonably deemed outside of that which enhances the quality and
- 5 accuracy of candidate information available to users." Should skimmerhat discover any
- 6 activity or contribution that violates its Terms of Service, candidates will be warned, and
- 7 the activity or content removed from the website. skimmerhat will retain the right to
- 8 reassume control over candidate pages should it doem a permission change necessary.

### 9 Questions Presented

- Under the proposed plan, can skimmerhat receive earmarked contributions from
   individuals and forward those contributions to Federal candidates without
- 12 committing an impermissible action under the Act?
- 2. Would skimmerhat's processing and convenience fee of eight percent count
- 14 towards a user's individual contribution limit to a candidate?
- 15 3. Can skimmerhat provide factual information about candidates to its users?
- 16 4. Under the proposed plan, could candidates be granted limited access to manage
- 17 their profiles without causing skimmerhat to pravide a material service to the
- 18 candidate, creating an impermissible action under the Act?
- Can skimmerhat use Federal Election Commission data pertaining to candidate
- 20 finance information that is either compiled directly by skimmerhat staff, or
- 21 curated through APIs?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Skimmerhat has not yet drafted the "Terms of Service" referred to here. It plans to finalize them before launching its site.

6. Under the proposed plan, is skimmerhat required to file any reports with the
 Federal Election Commission?

### Legal Analysis and Conclusions

- Under the proposed plan, can skimmerhat receive earmarked contributions from
   individuals and forward those contributions to Federal candidates without
   committing an impermissible action under the Act?
  - Yes, skimmerhat may receive earmarked contributions from individuals and forward those contributions to Federal oandidates without engaging in an impermissible action under the Act.
    - The Act and Commission regulations prohibit corporations from making a contribution in connection with a Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 441b(a); 11 CFR 114.2(b)(1). A "contribution" includes any "direct or indirect payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money, or any services, or anything of value . . . to any candidate, campaign committee, or political party or organization, in connection with any [Federal] election." 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2); 11 CFR 114.2(b)(1); see also 2 U.S.C. 431(8)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.52(a).
    - Skimmerhat proposes to transmit contributions to political committees<sup>8</sup> without receiving payment from political committees. The Commission has previously concluded that companies that process contributions to political committees as a service to the political committees must be compensated for those services to avoid making in-kind contributions. *See* Advisory Opinion 2007-04 (Atlatl). Companies that process

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> skimmerhat indicates that contributions processed through its site "will be limited to \$2,500." The Commission notes that for 201 I-2012 election cycle, an individual may give to a Federal candidate \$2,500 per election. In other words, a Federal candidate who participates in two elections during the current election cycle may receive contributions from an individual totaling \$5,000.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 contributions as a service to contributors, however, do not need to be compensated for
- 2 these services by the recipient political committees because the companies are not
- 3 providing any services or anything of value to the recipient political committees. See,
- 4 e.g., Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (GivingSphere).

Here, skimmerhat will provide a commercial service to its users when it transmits

6 their contributions to candidates. Through its platform, skimmerhat's users will be able

7 to search for and identify recipient candidates by geographic location, ideological

similarities, and issue positions. Users will make contributions to those candidates from

skimmerhat's website, rather than from the candidates' own websites, and otherwise

irrespective of candidate involvement with skimmerhat's candidate pages. And, further,

upon agreeing to skimmerhat's terms of service, skimmerhat will transmit users' funds

only at the request of its users, not pursuant to negotiated agreements with political

committees. Compare Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (GivingSphere) (hosting a database

and website through which customers identify recipients and transmit funds) with

Advisory Opinion 2007-04 (AtlatI) (proposing only to process online credit card

contributions initiated on political committees' websites).

Skimmerhat's proposed service closely reaembles the services approved by the Commission in Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (GivingSphere). In that advisory opinion, as here, a corporation proposed to develop a web-based platform through which its customers could identify political committees and transfer contributions to political committees. *Id.* Because, as discussed above, skimmerhat will provide services to its customers and not political committees, skimmerhat's proposal is analogous to widely available delivery services, such as United Parcel Service, which a contributor may use to

- deliver a contribution, or an electronic bill-pay service, such as those provided by banks.<sup>9</sup>
- 2 See also Advisory Opinion 2011-06 (Democracy Engine). Thus, as further explained in
- 3 the response to Question 6 below, skimmerhat would also not be operating as a conduit or
- 4 intermediary for contributions. See 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(8); 11 CFR 110.6(b)(2)(ii).
- 5 Accordingly, skimmerhat may undertake its proposal without its services
- 6 constituting a prohibited in-kind contribution or otherwise engaging in an impermissible
- 7 action under the Act and Commission regulations.
- 8 2. Would skimmerhat's processing and convenience fee of eight percent count
- 9 towards a user's individual contribution limit to a candidate?
- No, skimmerhat's processing and convenience fee of eight percent will not count
- 11 towards a user's individual contribution limits to a candidate.
- 12 As discussed in the answer to Question 1, above, the Commission has
- distinguished between situations in which a company provides services to recipient
- 14 political committees, and situations in which a company provides services to its
- 15 customers. In Advisory Opinion 2007-04 (Atlatl), the contractual relationship was
- between the company that processed the contributions and the recipient political
- 17 committee. The Commission concluded that the amount of contributions to political
- 18 committees must include fees paid by contributors to the company. In contrast, in
- 19 Advisory Opinion 2011-06 (Democracy Engine), the Commission concluded that the
- amount of the contributions would not include processing fees paid by contributors,
- 21 because the services provided by the vendor were "at the request and for the benefit of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Consistent with this analogy, skimmerhat makes clear that, if a candidate or committee refuses to accept a contribution, it will return a contributor's funds and it will prevent cundidates from using the skimmerhat platform to raise contributions outside of skimmerhat.

- the contributors, not of the recipient political committees." Thus, fees paid for those
- 2 services did not "relieve the recipient political committees of a financial burden they
- 3 would otherwise have had to pay for themselves," and were not contributions to the
- 4 recipient political committees. Advisory Opinion 2011-06 (Democracy Engine).
- 5 Similarly, here, skimmerhat will provide its services at the request and for the
- 6 benefit of its customers, and not the recipient political committees. Therefore, because
- 7 payment of the convenience fee will not relieve any recipient political committee of a
- 8 financial burden that it would otherwise have had to pay for itself, the payment of the
- 9 convenience fee by the subscribers will not constitute a contribution by the subscribers to
- 10 any recipient political committee.
- 3. Can skimmerhat provide factual information about candidates to its users?
- Yes, skimmerhat may provide factual information about candidates to its users.
- Skimmerhat's business model includes providing its customers with tools that
- they can use to gain information about and to evaluate potential recipient candidates. To
- 15 this end, skimmerhat proposes to enable users to identify candidates by geographic
- location, through a candidate matching survey, and by their positions on issues. A
- candidate page will include a photograph, biographical information, campaign finance
- information, recent undates, issue positions, and a donation page. Skimmerhat will
- initially develop the pages using information from candidates' websites, public records,
- and through the use of APIs. Skimmerhat has a vested commercial interest in seeking
- 21 participation of users from all political parties and ideological backgrounds.
- 22 Skimmerhat's proposal is similar to the one considered by the Commission in
- 23 Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (GivingSphere), in which a corporation wished to provide

- 1 basic factual information about candidates to its customers for their use in determining to
- 2 whom to make contributions through the corporation's web platform. The Commission
- 3 approved the proposal because the provision of factual information to customers
- 4 appeared to be a corollary of creating a web platform through which users could identify
- 5 political committees and transmit contributions. Advisory Opinion
- 6 2011-19 (GivingSphere).
- 7 Here, too, the information provided by skimmerhat to its customers will
- 8 supplement the overall service offered by the site. Accordingly, skinmerhat may provide
- 9 factual information about Federal candidates to its users as proposed.
- 10 4. Under the proposed plan, could candidates be granted limited access to manage
- their profiles without causing skimmerhat to provide a material service to the
- candidate, creating an impermissible action under the Act?
- Yes, candidates could be granted limited access to manage their profiles.
- 14 The Act and Commission regulations prohibit corporations from making a
- 15 contribution in connection with a Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 441b(a); 11 CFR
- 16 114.2(b)(1). As noted above, a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan,
- advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of
- influencing any election for Federal office." 2 U.S.C. 431(8)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.52(a);
- 19 see also 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2); 11 CFR 114.2(b)(1). "Anything of value" includes all in-
- 20 kind contributions, including the provision of goods or services without charge or at a
- 21 charge that is less than the usual and normal charge. See 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1). "Usual
- and normal charge" is defined as "the price of goods in the market from which they
- 23 ordinarily would have been purchased at the time of the contribution, or the commercially

- reasonable rate prevailing at the time the services were rendered." See 11 CFR
- 2 100.52(d)(2).
- The Commission has previously recognized that political committees may use
- 4 web-based platforms without paying a fee when such use results in marketing or
- 5 increased revenue for the platform provider. See Advisory Opinions 2010-06 (Famos),
- 6 2011-19 (GivingSphere). In those circumstances, the Commission has concluded that the
- 7 free use of the web platform represents "a commercially reasonable transaction made in
- 8 the ordinary course of business." Id.
- 9 Skimmerhat will provide candidates with the option of assuming limited
  10 managerial control over basic biographical information on the site's candidate pages, as
  11 well as setting positions on issues. Skimmerhat has represented in its request that
- allowing candidates to manage their profiles in this way would increase the accuracy of
- 13 the site's data and the effectiveness of the skimmerhat matching process, both of which
- 14 advance skimmerhat's commercial interest in matching donors to like-minded candidates
- and increase skimmerhat's profits. As such, skimmerhat's proposal to let candidates
- 16 manage their profile pages would represent a "commercially reasonable transaction made
- in the ordinary course of business."
- 18 Further, skimmerhat's plan to have Foderal candidates manage the site's
- 19 candidate pages is not "for the purpose of influencing an election." As skimmerhat notes
- 20 in its request: "The company and its online assets are operated on a commercial basis
- only . . . and does not seek to influence federal elections in any way. In fact, skimmerhat
- has a vested commercial interest in seeking participation of users from all political parties
- 23 and ideological backgrounds." Request at 2. Accordingly, skimmerhat will be engaging

AO 2012-22 Draft B Page 12

- 1 in the proposed activity for genuinely commercial purposes and not for the purpose of
- 2 influencing any Federal election. <sup>10</sup> See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2008-10
- 3 (VoterVoter.com).
- For the reasons stated above, skimmerhat's proposal to have Federal candidates
- 5 assume limited control of the site's profile pages would not result in a prohibited in-kind
- 6 contribution from skimmerhat or otherwise engage in an impermissible action under the
- 7 Act. 11
- 8 5. Can skimmerhat use Federal Election Commission data pertaining to candidate
- 9 finance information that is either compiled directly by skimmerhat staff, or
- 10 curated through APIs?
- 11 Yes, skimmerhat may use Commission data pertaining to candidate finance
- information that is either compiled directly by skimmerhat staff or curated through APIs.
- Political committees must file certain statements, notices, and reports with the
- 14 Commission, which the Commission makes public. 2 U.S.C. 434, 438(a)(4); see also 11
- 15 CFR Part 104. The Act provides that "any information copied from such reports or
- statements may not be sold or used by any person for the purpose of soliciting
- 17 contributions or for commercial purposes, other than using the name and address of any

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Additionally, even if limited managerial access to the site's candidate profiles constitutes "anything of value" to a Federal candidate, such access would be provided by skimmerhat at the "usual and normal charge." As the Commission has noted previously, "virtually all web-based platforms now offered in the marketplace are made available free of charge." Advisory Opinion 2010-06 (Famos). Just as Federal candidates may, without charge, create a Facebook page, establish a Twitter feed, or start a YouTube channel, they may similarly assume control of a skimmerhat profile page without a resultant in-kind contribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Commission also notes that by providing candidates with this access skimmerhat will "merely serve a passive function to provide complete information about elections" that the Commission has previously found those not result in an in-kimi contribution to candidates. Advisory Opinion 1999-25 (DNet), sae also Advisory Opinion 1999-24 (EZone).

- political committee to solicit contributions from such committee." 2 U.S.C. 438(a)(4);
- 2 see also 11 CFR 104.15(a).
- The prohibition on the use of information in Commission filings serves to prevent
- 4 information about individual contributors from being sold or used for commercial
- 5 purposes. 12 See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2004-24 (NGP Software); Advisory Opinion
- 6 1983-44 (Cass Communications); Advisory Opinion 1981-38 (Campac Publications);
- 7 Advisory Opinion 1980-101 (Weinberger). The prohibition is a "broad prophylactic
- 8 measure intended to protect the privacy of the contributors about whom information is
- 9 disclosed in FEC public records." Advisory Opinion 2003-24 (NCTFK). The
- 10 Commission has allowed the sale or use of the name and address of political committees
- for commercial purposes. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2004-24 (NGP Software);
- 12 Advisory Opinion 1989-19 (Johnson); Advisory Opinion 1980-101 (Weinberger). For
- example, in Advisory Opinion 1980-101 (Weinberger), the Commission approved the
- publication and sale of a "directory of comprehensive information concerning [political
- committees]" so long as the directory did not "identify individuals who made
- 16 contributions to the [political committees.]" See also Attvisory Opinion 1989-19
- 17 (Johnson) (epproving a proposal to sell portions of political committee reports that "did
- 18 not contain the names of individual contributors").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The legislative history of the 1979 Amendments to the Act indicates that Congress intended the prohibition on the copying and use of names and addresses to protect individual contributors. H.R. Rep. No. 422 at 23 (1979). Congress was concerned that the Act's reporting requirements would "open up the citizens who are generous and public spirited enough to support our political activities to all kinds of harassment." 117 Cong. Rec. 30057 (1971) (statement of Sen. Bellmon). Senator Bellmon stated that the amendment prohibiting the use of contributor information was intended to "protect the privacy of the generally very public-spirited citizens who make a contribution to a political campaign or a political party." *Id.* 

1	Skimmerhat proposes to provide its customers with factual information about
2	candidates, including campaign finance data obtained from reports filed with the
3	Commission, on its candidate pages. Skimmerhat represents that it will use only
4	campaign finance data regarding candidate fundraising totals and will not use information
5	regarding individual contributors.
6	Accordingly, because the information that skimmerhat seeks to use concerns
7	political committees, rather than individual contributors, skimmerhat may use
8	Commission data pertaining to candidate finance information that is either compiled
9	directly by skimmerhat staff or curated through APIs.
10	6. Under the proposed plan, is skimmerhat required to file any reports with the
11	Federal Election Commission?
12	No, under the proposed plan, skimmerhat will not be required to file any reports
13	with the Commission.
14	The Act and Commission regulations require certain persons to file reports with
15	the Commission. For example, a "treasurer of a political committee shall file reports of
16	receipts and disbursements." See 2 U.S.C. 434(a)(1); 11 CFR 104.1. Persons who spend
17	above thresheld amounts on independent expenditures or electioneering communications
18	must file reports with the Commission. See 2 U.S.C. 434(c), (f); 11 CFR 104.20, 109.10.
19	Based on the facts set forth in the request, skimmerhat will be a commercial
20	service provider, not a political committee, and therefore will not be subject to the
21	reporting requirements for political committees. See 2 U.S.C. 434(a)(1); 11 CFR 104.1.
22	Skimmerhat also will not engage in express advocacy. Thus, it will not be subject to the
23	reporting requirements for persons making independent expenditures. See 2 U.S.C.

- 431(17) ("The term 'independent expenditure' means an expenditure by a person . . .
- 2 expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate . . . . "); see
- 3 also 11 CFR 100.16. Moreover, given that all relevant communications will take place
- 4 on the Internet, skimmerhat's proposal will not implicate the reporting requirements for
- 5 persons making electioneering communications. See 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(A)(i) ("The term
- 6 'electioneering communications' means any broadcast, cable, or satellite communications
- 7 ...."); see also 11 CFR 100.29.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

The Act and Commission regulations also require intermediaries or conduits of earmarked contributions to report the original source of such a contribution and the recipient candidate or authorized committee. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(8); 11 CFR 110.6(c). The provisions addressing earmarked contributions prevent the circumvention of contribution limits [and prohibitions] by ensuring that "contributions to candidates count toward FECA contribution limits . . . regardless of whether contributors give contributions directly to candidates or whether contributors earmark, or otherwise direct, the contributions to candidates through a conduit or intermediary." Advisory Opinion 2006-30 (ActBlue); see also Federal Election Commission v. National Republican Senatorial Committee, 761 F.Supp. 813, 819-20 (D.D.C. 1991) rev'd on other grounds. 966 F.2d 1471 (D.C. Cir. 1992). The contributions made through the skimmerkat platform are not contributions to an intermediary and earmarked for a candidate or authorized committee; they are direct contributions to the candidate or authorized committee made via a commercial processing service. In past Advisory Opinions, the Commission has concluded that certain electronic transactional services that assist a contributor in making a contribution do not run afoul of the prohibition on corporations acting as a conduit or

- 1 intermediary for earmarked contributions because certain electronic transactional services
- 2 are so essential to the flow of modern commerce that they are akin to "delivery services,
- 3 bill-paying services, or check writing services." Advisory Opinion 2011-06 (Democracy
- 4 Engine). For that reason, the Commission has not required commercial processing agents
- 5 to file reports as an intermediary or conduit of earmarked contributions. See e.g.,
- 6 Advisory Opinion 2012-17 (Red Blue T); Advisory Opinion 2012-09 (Points for
- 7 Politics); Advisory Opinion 2011-19 (Giving Sphere); Advisory Opinion 2011-06
- 8 (Democracy Engine).

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Thus, skimmerhat's proposal would not subject it to any reporting requirements under the Act or Commission regulations.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on this advisory opinion. See 2 U.S.C. 437f(c)(1)(B). Please note that the analysis or conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the law including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions, and case law.

AO 2012-22 Draft B Page 17

10

The cited advisory opinions are available on the Commission's website, www.fec.gov, or
directly from the Commission's Advisory Opinion searchable database at

http://www.fec.gov/searchao.

On behalf of the Commission,

Caroline Hunter

Chair