

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

RECEIVED

IDERAL ELECTION

COMMISSION

STORTARIAT

2083 JUL 17 A 8: 29

ASENGAITEM
For Meeting of: 7-17-03

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Commission

FROM:

Caroline Goodson

DATE:

07/17/2003

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Agenda Document 03-48 (Flake)

Chair Weintraub would like the attached amendments to be included as an agenda document for today's meeting.

Proposed Amendments to Agenda Document No. 03-48 (Draft "C")

1. pp. 5-10:

- p. 6, lines 6-7: delete "Both BCRA and the Commission's rules implementing BCRA prohibit" and replace with "BCRA prohibits"
- p. 6, line 8: delete ": (A)"
- p. 6, line 10: delete "and (B)" and replace with ". 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A); 11 CFR 300.61. In addition, BCRA prohibits covered persons from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring, or spending"
- p. 6, line 11: italicize "other than"
- p. 6, line 13: add "prohibited" before "sources"
- p. 6: move the first six lines of p. 6 ending with "2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)" to the beginning of question 1(b) on line 3 of p. 10.
- p. 7, line 1: delete in its entirety and replace with "under the Act."
- p. 7, line 2: delete in its entirety and replace with "2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.62."
- p. 9: delete "to" from line 9 and delete "(see the response to question 1.b., below)" from line 13.
- pp. 5-10: switch the order of question 1(a) and question 1(b). Rename question 1(b) as "1", and rename question 1(a) as "2".
- 2. p. 10, line 22 through p. 12, line 2: delete old question 2 in its entirety. (No renumbering of other questions will be needed if the changes in item 1 above are made.)
 3. p. 14, line 7: add "so" after "of"
- 4. p. 14, lines 17-18: delete "However, Representative Flake's communications must not extend beyond this to become solicitations that do not comply with section 441i(e)." and replace with "However, any solicitation of funds by Representative Flake on STMP's behalf must comply with section 441i(e)(1)."
- 5. p. 15, lines 10 through 16: delete in its entirety.
- 6. p. 15, line 19 through p. 16, line 4: delete beginning with "Because STMP"
- 7. p. 16, line 5: delete "Also, because" and replace with "Because"
- 8. p. 16, lines 6-7: delete "(other than its Federal election activities and electioneering communications)"
- 9. p. 16, lines 9-10: Delete sentence beginning "Therefore," and ending with "STMP" and replace with "See the response to question 2, above."
- 10. p. 16, line 14: Add the following sentence: "Therefore, Representative Flake and STMP may only solicit funds for STMP from individuals and other federally permissible sources, in amounts that do not exceed \$5,000 per donor in any calendar year." Add footnote to end of this sentence, "This \$5,000 limit is separate and distinct from the limitations on contributions to Representative Flake's PCC; thus, for example, it would be permissible for an individual to donate \$5,000 per calendar year to STMP and \$2,000 per election to Representative Flake's PCC."
- 11. p. 16, between lines 14 and 15: add the following paragraph:

Moreover, because STMP is "established, maintained, financed or controlled by Representative Flake," both Representative Flake and STMP are subject to the

restrictions of section 441i(e)(1)(A), which prohibits them from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring or spending funds for any Federal election activities unless the funds are "subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A); 11 CFR 300.61. Thus, to the extent any voter registration activity were to constitute Federal election activity, it would be subject to the same source and amount restrictions under section 441i(e)(1)(A) as described above under section 441i(e)(1)(B).

[Add the following as a footnote to the paragraph above:]

One potentially significant difference between the two sections is the reference to the "reporting requirements of the Act," which appears in section 441i(e)(1)(A) but not in section 441i(e)(1)(B). However, because STMP has not indicated any intent to accept "contributions" or make "expenditures," as defined in 2 U.S.C. 431(8) and (9), there is no basis to conclude that STMP has triggered or will trigger political committee status under 2 U.S.C. 431(4), which would subject it to the reporting requirements for political committees under 2 U.S.C. 434. It therefore appears that the only reporting requirements for funds raised and spent by STMP would arise from an electioneering communication, as discussed in the answer to question 17, below.

- 12. p. 16, line 15: delete "Specifically" and replace with "In sum, as long as Representative Flake and STMP comply with the restrictions described above,"
- 13. p. 18, line 8: add "from any donor" after "STMP".
- 14. p. 19, line 7 through p. 20, line 7: delete in its entirety.
- 15. p. 20, line 11 through p. 21, line 4: delete in its entirety and replace with "No. STMP may not raise money that is not legal under the Act. See the response to question 9, above."
- 16. p. 21, line 17: delete "must" and replace with "may"
- 17. p. 21, lines 8-9: delete and replace with "However, any solicitation of funds by Representative Flake on STMP's behalf must comply with section 441i(e)(1)."
- 18. p. 21, line 10: rename this question "13(a)" and add "Yes; see the response to question 9, above."
- 19. p. 21, lines 11-12: rename this question "13(b)" and add "No."
- 20. p. 21, lines 17-20: delete beginning with "Federal" and ending with "(B)" and replace with "funds from individuals or other federally permissible sources, in amounts that do not exceed \$5,000 per donor in any calendar year"
- 21. p. 21, lines 21 and 22: delete "reference to 'non-federal funds' in this question means funds not subject to the amount limitations and source prohibitions of the Act" and replace with "non-federal funds at issue do not comply with these restrictions,"
- 22. p. 22, lines 5 and 7: delete "see generally Advisory Opinion 2003-03" and just answer "Yes" to both questions.
- 23. p. 22, line 18 through p. 23, line 19: delete and replace with "Yes, provided that the activities are paid for with funds from individuals or other federally permissible sources, in amounts that do not exceed \$5,000 per donor in any calendar year. See the answer to question 9, above. To determine whether reporting requirements would apply, see the answer to question 17, below."
- 24. p. 25, line 9: Replace "must" with "may".

25. p. 28, lines 3-11: delete and replace with "No; see the answer to question 9, above."

ADVISORY OPINION 2003-12 1 2 3 Benjamin L. Ginsberg, Esq. 4 Patton Boggs L.L.P. 2550 M Street, N.W. 5 Washington, D.C. 20037-1350 6 7 8 Dear Mr. Ginsberg: 9 This responds to your letters dated March 3, March 24, and April 7, 2003, 10 requesting an advisory opinion on behalf of the Stop Taxpayer Money for Politicians 11 Committee ("STMP") and United States Representative Jeff Flake concerning the 12 application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 ("the Act"), and 13 Commission regulations, to a ballot measure campaign that STMP and 14 Representative Flake plan to undertake for the November 2, 2004, election in 15 Arizona. 16 Background 17 Representative Flake is a candidate for re-election to the House of Representatives in 2004. Jeff Flake for Congress ("the PCC" or "his PCC") is his 18 19 principal campaign committee. 20 STMP is an unincorporated, section 527 political organization that wishes to 21 qualify a State referendum to repeal portions of Arizona's campaign finance statute. 22 STMP is not a Federal political committee. You state that STMP and Representative 23 Flake plan to qualify the ballot measure for the November 2, 2004, election and campaign for its passage, if it qualifies. 24 25 STMP was established on January 17, 2003. Representative Flake signed the 26 documents filed with the Arizona Secretary of State that formed STMP, and he was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

STMP's first Chairman. You state that an individual who served as Representative Flake's part-time campaign consultant aided STMP with its State filings and with establishing its bank account.

On March 21, 2003, Representative Flake resigned from STMP, and he has not held any other office in STMP since then. All funds raised while Representative Flake was associated with STMP have been returned.

You represent that Representative Flake wishes to resume his role as Chairman of STMP, and that he and/or agents of his authorized committee wish to provide significant support to STMP. You state that Representative Flake plans to assist STMP to the extent permitted under the law as interpreted by the Commission, and that Representative Flake, and his agents and employees of his authorized campaign committee, have been asked to be involved in all aspects of STMP. including its governance. STMP also wishes to employ both current and former employees of Representative Flake's PCC and congressional office, and STMP contemplates hiring individuals who are, or have been, consultants to Mr. Flake's PCC, some in this election cycle and some in previous election cycles. You expect that such individuals would engage in a variety of STMP's activities, and that, if permitted, such individuals would also perform similar activities for Representative Flake's PCC, with each committee paying a proportionate share of the individual's costs. Representative Flake and his agents would like to be able to direct and participate in the governance of STMP, as well as to formulate its strategy and tactics for the ballot referendum.

You state that STMP wishes Representative Flake and his agents to bring their expertise to bear on all STMP's planned public communications. STMP would like Representative Flake to play a role in selecting the media firm used for STMP's public communications, and STMP wishes to receive his and his agents' ideas for specific scripts and copy.

You tell us that neither Representative Flake's PCC, nor any employee or agent of that committee, has provided financial support for STMP.

In the signature-gathering and ballot qualification stage, STMP will hire fulltime employees and part-time consultants; their duties will be fundraising or political
organizing. STMP plans to hire consultants to draft the ballot measure. The political
organizing will involve hiring staff and recruiting volunteers, who will gather
signatures through June 2004 and maintain a web site. These personnel will also be
responsible for satisfying the administrative requirements of qualifying the ballot
measure. You state that STMP plans to raise funds permitted by State law to qualify
for the State ballot, and that this will include raising funds outside of the Act's
amount limitations and source prohibitions. You state that, in the signaturegathering and ballot qualification phase through June 2004, STMP will not engage in
any Federai election activity ("FEA") as defined in 11 CFR 100.24, nor make any
electioneering communications as defined in 11 CFR 100.29. You state that STMP
anticipates engaging in voter registration and voter identification programs from the
beginning of its activities.

Once the ballot measure has qualified, STMP plans to engage in activities

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

designed to win passage for the measure. First, STMP will conduct voter registration programs designed to identify voters who agree with the initiative and to register them to vote if they are not already registered. This will include contacting voters by telephone, in-person, by mail, or over the Internet to assist them in registering to vote for the November 2004 general election. Second, STMP will engage in a broad-based advertising campaign regarding the State campaign finance statute through public broadcast communications, and mail, phone and Internet messages. Third, STMP will engage in get-out-the-vote programs ("GOTV") designed to get the measure's supporters to the polls in November 2004 by means of telephone, in person door-todoor activity, and other individualized means. This will include providing voters in the three days before the election with information about when and where polling places are open and offering transportation to the polls. You state that STMP anticipates engaging in GOTV activities beginning about 30 days before the November 2004 election and continuing through election day. Fourth, STMP will engage in an "aggressive" program to raise the funds permitted by Arizona law to fund these activities, including funds not permitted by the Act.

STMP intends to clearly identify a Federal officeholder or candidate in its broad-based advertising campaign promoting the Arizona ballot measure, and you state that such messages will likely meet the definition of "public communication" in 11 CFR 100.26. You state that the statute that STMP wishes to repeal is closely identified with Senator McCain among Arizona residents and that Representative Flake is one of the statute's most visible and vocal critics. None of the

communications will refer to anyone "in his or her role as a Federal candidate" or advocate the election or defeat of a Federal candidate. You expect that these communications will be distributed from the beginning of STMP's activities, which will be more than 120 days before the election, through November 2, 2004. You state that any communications by STMP will be directed to all voters in Arizona, including those in Representative Flake's district, but that there will not be special messages directed to voters in Representative Flake's district. STMP anticipates that any broadcast communications will be receivable by more than 50,000 people in the state as a whole and in Representative Flake's district in particular.

Legal Analysis and Conclusions

A written advisory opinion request must "set forth a specific transaction or activity

that the requesting person plans to undertake or is presently undertaking and intends to undertake in the future. Requests presenting a general question of interpretation, or posing a hypothetical situation . . . do not qualify as advisory opinion requests." 11

CFR 112.1(b) (emphasis added).

The Commission concludes that several of your questions are "general question[s] of interpretation," within the meaning of 11 CFR 112.1(b), rather than questions regarding "a specific transaction or activity" as required by 2 U.S.C. 437f(a). Other questions, however, do relate to specific activities that STMP and Representative Flake intend to undertake, and are therefore appropriately addressed in an advisory opinion. Many of your questions are posed in the alternative, asking

for answers assuming that STMP is organized as a section 501(c)(4) organization and as a section 527 organization.¹ Except as noted in the answer to question 9, the answers to the questions below do not depend on STMP's form of organization under the Internal Revenue Code.

1. Did Representative Flake Directly or Indirectly Establish. Finance. Maintain. or Control STMP?

On November 6, 2002, the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 (Pub. L. 107-155 (Mar. 27, 2002)) ("BCRA") took effect. As amended by BCRA, the Act regulates certain actions of Federal candidates and officeholders², their agents, and entities directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained, or controlled by them (collectively, "covered persons") when they raise or spend funds in connection with either Federal or non-Federal elections, 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1).

The affiliation factors (11 CFR 100.5(2) and 110.3) determine whether a person or entity ("sponsor") "directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained or controlled" another person or entity under BCRA, "Prohibited and Excessive Contributions: Non-Federal Funds or Soft Money; Final Rules," 67 Fed. Reg. 49.064, 49.084 (July 29. 2002). The ten factors set out at 11 CFR 300.2(c)(2)(i) through (x) must be examined in the context of the overall relationship between the sponsor and the entity to determine whether the presence of any factor or factors is evidence that

¹ 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(4) and 26 U.S.C. 527.

² Under 2 U.S.C. 431(3), "Federal office" means "the office of President or Vice President, or of Senator or Representative in, or Delegate or Resident Commissioner to, the Congress." See also 11 CFR 100.4.

³ 11 CFR 300.2(b)(3).

^{4 11} CFR 300.60.

the sponsor directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained, or controlled the 1 2 entity. 11 CFR 300.2(c). The Commission concludes that Representative Flake established 3 STMP. Representative Flake is among the individuals who formed STMP, and he 4 5 signed the documents with the Arizona Secretary of State's office creating STMP. He was STMP's Chairman from its establishment on January 17, 2003, to March 21. 6 7 2003, when he resigned. An individual who also served as Representative Flake's 8 part-time campaign consultant aided the referendum Committee with its State filings 9 and opened its bank account. Representative Flake had an active and significant role 10 in the formation of STMP. 11 CFR 300.2(c)(2)(ix). Having concluded that Representative Flake established STMP, it is not necessary to determine whether he 11 12 will finance, maintain or control STMP. As such, the Commission concludes that 13 STMP is an entity "established, financed, maintained or controlled by" 14 Representative Flake. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1): 11 CFR 300.2(c). 15 4-a2. Are STMP's Activities in Connection with an Election, Within the Meaning of 2 $U.S.C.\ 441i(e)(1)(A)\ and\ (B)$? 16 17 On November 6, 2002, the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 (Pub. L. 107-155 (Mar. 27, 2002)) ("BCRA") took effect. As amended by BCRA, the Act 18 19 regulates certain actions of Federal candidates and officeholders, their agents, and entities directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained, or controlled by them 20

⁵ Under 2 U.S.C. 431(3), "Federal office" means "the office of President or Vice President, or of Senator or Representative in, or Delegate or Resident Commissioner to, the Congress." See also 11 CFR 100.4.

⁶ 11 CFR 300.2(b)(3).

(collectively, "covered persons")² when they raise or spend funds in connection with either Federal or non-Federal elections. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1). Both BCRA and the Commission's rules implementing BCRA prohibits covered persons from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring, or spending: (A)-funds in connection with an election for Federal office, including funds for any Federal election activity⁸, unless the funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act₃₇ and (B) 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A): 11 CFR 300.61. In addition, BCRA prohibits covered persons from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring, or spending funds in connection with any election other than an election for Federal office unless the funds are not in excess of the amounts permitted with respect to contributions to candidates and political committees under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1), (2), and (3), and are not from prohibited sources, prohibited by this Act from making contributions in connection with an

⁷ 11 CFR 300.60.

Federal election activity ("FEA") means any of the following activities: (1) voter registration activity during the 120 days before a regularly scheduled Federal election and ending on the day of the election; (2) voter identification activity, GOTV activity, and generic campaign activity that is conducted in connection with an election in which one or more candidates for Federal office appear on the ballot; (3) a public communication that refers to a clearly identified Federal candidate and that promotes, supports, attacks or opposes a candidate for that office; and (4) services provided during any month by an employee of a state, district or local party committee who spends more than 25 percent of the employee's compensated time during that month on activities in connection with a Federal election. "In connection with an election in which a candidate for Federal office appears on the ballot" means, in even numbered years, the period beginning on the day of the earliest filting deadline for primary election ballot access under State law (or on January 1st in states that do not hold primaries), and ending on the day of the general election (or the general election rumoff if a runoff is held), and in odd numbered years, the period beginning on the day that a date is set for a special election in which a Federal candidate appears on the ballot, and ending on the date of the election. 11 CFR 100.24(a)(1).

H

election for Federal office. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A) and (B); 11 CFR 300.61 and 300.62.9

Given that STMP's activities, other than its Federal election activities, are not "in connection with an election for Federal office," a threshold issue is whether these activities are "in connection with any election other than an election for Federal office." 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A), (B) (emphasis added). Neither the Act nor Commission regulations define which elections are covered by this provision. The Act's general definition of "election," which includes a "general, special, primary, or runoff election," does not resolve the question as to whether a state ballot measure is an election other than an election for Federal office for purposes of subparagraph (B). Indeed, the interpretation of the scope of section 441i(e)(1)(B) should not depend on one word in isolation. Likewise, 11 CFR 100.2(a), which defines "election ... to Federal office," does not explain the meaning of subparagraph (B), which, by its own terms, applies to elections other than elections to Federal office.

As used in subparagraph (B) of section 441i(e)(1), the term, "in connection with any election other than an election for Federal office" is, on its face, clearly intended to apply to a different category of elections than those covered by

Under the Act, the following persons may not contribute in connection with a Federal election: National banks, corporations, and labor organizations (2 U.S.C. 441b); Federal government contractors (2 U.S.C. 441c); foreign nationals (2 U.S.C. 441e); and minors, although a minor may contribute to a Federal separate segregated fund or nonconnected committee (2 U.S.C. 441k). It is unlawful for the following persons to contribute or donate in connection with any election: National banks and corporations organized by authority of Congress (2 U.S.C. 441b); Federal government contractors (2 U.S.C. 441c); and foreign nationals (2 U.S.C. 441e).

^{10 2} U.S.C. 431(1)(A).

Davis v. Mich. Dep't of Treas., 489 U.S. 803, 809, 109 S.Ct. 1500, 1504, 103 L.Ed.2d 891 (1989) ("It is a fundamental canon of statutory construction that the words of a statute must be read in their context and with a view to their place in the overall statutory scheme.").

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

subparagraph (A), which refers to "an election for Federal office." This phrasing, "in connection with any election other than an election for Federal office" also differs significantly from the wording of other provisions of the Act that reach beyond Federal elections. Particularly relevant is the prohibition on contributions or expenditures by national banks and corporations organized by authority of Congress, which applies "in connection with any election to any political office." 2 U.S.C. 441b(a).12 Where Congress uses different terms, it must be presumed that it means different things. 13 Congress expressly chose to limit the reach of section 441b(a) to those non-Federal elections for a "political office," while intending a broader sweep for section 441i(e)(1)(B), which applies to "any election" (with only the exclusion of elections to Federal office). Therefore, the Commission concludes that the scope of section 441i(e)(1)(B) is not limited to elections for a political office, 14 and that the activities of STMP as described in your request (other than its Federal election activities and electioneering communications) are in connection with an election other than an election for Federal office. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B).

The Commission's previous advisory opinions, stating or otherwise indicating that "contributions or expenditures" relating exclusively to ballot referenda measures

¹² Before BCRA, the prohibition on contributions by foreign nationals similarly applied "in connection with an election to any political office. As amended by BCRA, this prohibition now applies "in connection with a Federal, State, or local election." 2 U.S.C. 441c(a)(1)(A).

There is a presumption in statutory construction that the use of different language indicated a legislative intention to mean different things. See, e.g., E.E.O.C. v. Gilbarco, Inc., 615 F.2d 985, 999 (4th Cir. 1980).

This statutory construction of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e) is also consistent with the Commission's decision not to create an exception to the definition of electioneering communications for ballot initiatives or referenda because ballot initiatives are becoming "increasingly linked with the public officials who support or oppose them...[and] the initiative or referenda...[can be] a proxy for the candidate..." "Electioneering Communications; Final Rules," 67 Fed. Reg. 65,190, 65,202 (October 23, 2002).

б

1989-32, 1984-62,
n.2, 1982-10, 1980-95. BCRA and the implementing regulations not only regulate certain activity by Federal candidates and officeholders more broadly than before, they also explicitly reach fundraising and spending by entities established, financed, maintained, or controlled by such candidates or officeholders. In this respect, the Act, as amended by BCRA, is now materially different than it was when those advisory opinions were issued. Thus, those previous advisory opinions are not

applicable to your request to the extent that they could be read to mean that

donations to, or disbursements on behalf of, an entity that was established for the

presumptively outside to the provisions of the Act and Commission regulations. 15

purpose of campaigning for a ballot measure, and not for any Federal candidacy, are

are not in connection with an election, are not to the contrary. Advisory Opinjons

The Commission finds that all activities of a ballot measure committee "established, financed, maintained or controlled" by a Federal candidate, as is the case here (see the response to question 1.b., below), are "in connection with an election other than an election for Federal office." This includes activity in the signature-gathering and ballot qualification stage, as well as activity to win passage of the measure after it qualifies for the ballot. On the other hand, activities of a ballot measure committee that is not "established, financed, maintained or controlled" by a Federal candidate, officeholder, or agent of either, would not be "in connection with

any election other than an election for Federal office" before the last day to file a

¹⁵ Advisory Opinion 1989-32 holds that foreign national donations to a ballot measure committee controlled by a State candidate are prohibited under the Act. 2 U.S.C. 441e. This holding does apply to your request.

1	ballot initiative petition under applicable State law, but would be "in connection with
2	any election other than an election for Federal office" on and after that date. 2 U.S.C
3	441i(e)(1)(A), (B).
4	1.b. Did Representative Flake Directly or Indirectly Establish, Finance, Maintain, or
5	Control STMP?
6	———The affiliation factors (11 CFR 100.5(g) and 110.3) determine whether
7	a-person or entity ("sponsor") "directly or indirectly established, financed,
8	maintained or controlled" another person or entity under BCRA. "Prohibited and
9	Excessive Contributions: Non-Federal Funds or Soft-Money; Final Rules," 67 Fed.
10	Reg. 49,064, 49,084 (July 29, 2002). The ten factors set out at 11 CFR 300.2(c)(2)(i)
11	through (x) must be examined in the context of the overall relationship between the
12	sponsor and the entity to determine whether the presence of any factor or factors is
13	evidence that the sponsor directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained, or
14	controlled the entity. 11 CFR-300.2(e).
15	—— The Commission concludes that Representative Flake established STMP.
16	Representative Flake is among the individuals who formed STMP, and he signed the
17	decuments with the Arizona Secretary of State's office creating STMP. He was
18	NTMP's Chairman from its establishment on January 17, 2003, to March 21, 2003,
19	when he resigned. An individual who also served as Representative Flake's part-time
20	campaign consultant aided the referendum Committee with its State filings and
21	opened its bank account. Representative Flake had an active and significant role in
22	the formation of STMP. 11 CFR 300.2(e)(2)(ix). Having concluded that

1	Representative Flake established STMP, it is not necessary to determine whether he
2	will finance, maintain or control STMP. As such, the Commission concludes that
3	STMP is an entity "established, financed, maintained or controlled by"
4	Representative Flake. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1); 11 CFR 300.2(e).
5	2. Is STMP Affiliated with Representative Flake's PCC?
6	-Affiliated-committees-include those-committees established, financed,
7	maintained or controlled by the same person. 11 CFR 110.3(a)(1)(ii), 110.3(a)(2)(v).
8	Where two committees are controlled "by the same person for campaign-related
9	purposes, ² the Commission has concluded in several advisory opinions that those
10	committees are affiliated. See Advisory Opinions 1991-12, 1990-16, 1987-12, 1984-46,
11	and 1984-3.
12	In several advisory opinions and Matters Under Review (MURs), the
13	Commission has addressed "leadership PACs." Though not defined in the Act and
14	Commission regulations, in common usage these are political committees formed by
15	or associated with Federal officeholders or candidates, and which contribute to other
16	Federal candidates, or donate to political party organizations or non-Federal
17	candidates, or subsidize the officeholder's travel, "Leadership PACs; Notice of
18	Proposed Rulemaking," 67 Fed. Reg. 78,753, 78,754 (December 6, 2002).
19	Although the relationship between Representative Flake and STMP differs
20	somewhat from the usual-relationship between a Federal officeholder or candidate
21	and a leadership PAC, the Commission finds that the relationship is sufficiently

Z	
3	

STMP as it has historically treated leadership PACs for affiliation purposes. Sec "Leadership PACs; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking," 67 Fed. Reg. 78,753, 78,754-78,755 (December 6, 2002). Therefore, the Commission concludes that STMP is not affiliated with the PCC. Advisory Opinion 1978-12; MURs 1870, 2897 and 3740.

The Commission further concludes that under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(C) and 441i(e)(1)(B), STMP and Representative Flake may raise up to a total of \$5,000 per calendar year from any particular permissible source, without regard to the amounts contributed by that source to Representative Flake's PCC.

Your advisory opinion request presents the following specific questions:

3. May Representative Flake serve as Chair, Officer, or Director of STMP? If so, will this result in "coordination" between STMP and his PCC? Does STMP's form of organization as a section 527 political organization, or as a section 501(c)(4) organization affect the answer to this question?

Yes, Representative Flake may serve as Chair, Officer, or Director of STMP, subject to the restrictions explained in the answer to question 9 below, with regard to fundraising.

Your advisory opinion request presents numerous facts and questions that raise issues as to "coordination" between STMP and Representative Flake. See generally 11 CFR Part 109, Subpart C. Under 11 CFR 109.20(a), "coordinated" means, "made in cooperation, consultation, or concert with, or at the request or suggestion of, a candidate, a

candidate's authorized committee, or their agents"

The regulations in 11 CFR 109.21 set forth a three-pronged test that must be satisfied to conclude that payments for a coordinated communication are made for the purpose of influencing a Federal election, and therefore constitute in-kind contributions. First, the communication must be paid for by someone other than a candidate, an authorized committee, a political party committee, or an agent of any of the foregoing. 11 CFR 109.21(a)(1). The second prong is a "content standard" regarding the subject matter of the communication. 11 CFR 109.21(a)(2). The third prong is a "conduct standard" regarding the interactions between the person paying for the communication and the candidate or the candidate's agents. 11 CFR 109.21(a)(3). These conduct standards include "requests or suggestions" for communications by candidates and "material involvement" in the making and airing of communications. 11 CFR 109.21(d)(1), (2).

The Commission cannot resolve whether particular communications are coordinated communications without more specific information regarding those communications. As such, this question is hypothetical, and presents a general question of interpretation of the Act, rather than a specific transaction or activity, and is thus not proper for an advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).

4. May Representative Flake serve as Honorary Chair of STMP if he has no legal responsibilities? Does STMP's form of organization as a section 527 political organization, or as a section 501(c)(4) organization affect the answer to this question?

¹⁶ An expenditure is considered to be a contribution to a candidate when it is "made by any person in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of," that candidate, the authorized committee of that candidate, or their agents. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7)(B)(i). Also, an expenditure is not "independent" if it is "made in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of," a candidate, authorized committee, or a political party committee. See 11 CFR 100.16.

1	Given the Commission's response to question
2	serve as the actual Chair, he may also serve as the hor
3	5. May agents and employees of Representative Flake's
4	involved in all aspects of STMP, including directing an
5	and formulating strategy and tactics for the ballot refer
6	Yes, subject to the restrictions explained in the
7	below, with regard to fundraising, and subject to the
8	coordinated activity included in the Act and Commiss
9	441a(a); 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1); 11 CFR 109.20 to 109.3
10	defines "agent" as any person who has actual authori
11	solicit, receive, direct, transfer, or spend funds in con
12	behalf of a Federal candidate or officeholder.
13	6. May STMP employ both current and former employ
14	PCC and congressional office?
15	Yes; the consequences of doing are based on the
16	the responses to questions 3 and 5, above.
17	7. May STMP hire individuals who are, or have been,
18	Flake's authorized committee, some in this election cyc
19	cycles?
20	Yes; the consequences of doing are based on ti
	1

21 the responses to questions 3 and 5, above.

3 that Representative Flake can norary Chair of STMP. s authorized committee be d participating in its governance, endum? e answers to questions 9 and 10, consequences resulting from sion regulations. 2 U.S.C. 21. Note that 11 CFR 300.2(b) ity, either express or implied, to nection with any election on ees of Representative Flake's he legal principles discussed in consultants to Representative le and some in previous election he legal principles discussed in

1	8. During the signature-gathering and ballot qualification phase, may Representative
2	Flake publicly urge Arizona voters to sign the petition?
3	Yes, merely encouraging voters to sign a petition does not trigger the
4	applicability of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e). However, any solicitation of funds by Representative
5	Flake's on STMP's behalf must communications must not extend beyond this to
6	become solicitations that do not comply with section 441i(e).
7	9. May Representative Flake raise money for STMP generally? May he raise money for
8	STMP specifically for the purpose of signature-gathering and ballot qualification
9	activities? Does STMP's form of organization as a section 527 political organization, or
0	as a section 501(c)(4) organization affect the answer to this question? Specifically, may
11	he do so:
12	(a) By attending fundraising events for STMP?
13	(b) By appearing as a featured guest at a STMP fundraiser?
14	(c) By speaking at STMP fundraising events?
15	(d) By making telephone calls to raise money for STMP?
16	(e) By signing fundraising letters for STMP?
17	(f) By hosting fundraising events for STMP?
18	Fundraising if STMP is a 527 Organization or a Tax-Exempt Organization
19	You have indicated that STMP will be registering voters as part of its
20	signature-gathering and ballot qualification activities. Some of the voter registration
21	activity planned by STMP will likely constitute FEA, which must be paid for with

Federal funds, while some of this voter registration activity will not constitute FEA,

and may be paid for with funds that comply with the amount limitations and source prohibitions, but not the reporting requirements, of the Act (i.e., that comply with the requirements of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B)).

Representative Flake may raise funds for STMP, but he must comply with the Act's restrictions on fundralsing by Federal candidates and officeholders. ¹⁷ 2 U.S.C. 441i(e); 11 CFR Part 300, Subpart D. Beenuse STMP is "established, financed, maintained or controlled" by Representative Flake, it, too, is subject to these restrictions. Representative Flake and STMP "must not solicit, receive, direct, transfer, or spend funds in connection with an election for Federal office, including funds for any Federal election activity," unless the funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A); 11 CFR 300.61.

Also, bBecause STMP is an entity "established, financed, maintained or controlled" by Representative Flake, the activities of STMP as described in your request (other than its Federal election activities and electioneering communications) are in connection with an election other than an election for Federal office, and thus within the scope of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B). See the response to question 2.

above, Therefore, the solicitation restrictions of the Act regarding non-Federal elections are applicable to solicitations by Representative Flake and STMP. Under section 441i(e)(1)(B), a person subject to 2 U.S.C. 441i(e) must not solicit, receive,

¹⁷ AO 2003-03 addressed a Federal officeholder's request to raise funds for State candidates in Virginia. The conclusions in AO 2003-03 are not applicable in this advisory opinion because none of the requestors in AO 2003-03 were "established, financed, maintained or controlled by" a Federal candidate or officeholder, as STMP is here.

direct, transfer, spend, or disburse funds in excess of the amounts permitted with respect to contributions to candidates and political committees or from prohibited sources under the Act. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.60(d); 11 CFR 300.62.

Therefore, Representative Flake and STMP may only solicit funds for STMP from individuals and other federally permissible sources, in amounts that do not exceed \$5,000 per donor in any calendar year. 18

Moreover, because STMP is "established, maintained, financed or controlled

by Representative Flake," both Representative Flake and STMP are subject to the restrictions of section 441i(e)(1)(A), which prohibits them from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring or spending funds for any Federal election activities unless the funds are "subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act." 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A): 11 CFR 300.61. Thus, to the extent any voter registration activity were to constitute Federal election activity, it would be subject to the same source and amount restrictions under section 441i(e)(1)(A) as described above under section 441i(e)(1)(B). 19

Specifically, In sum. as long as Representative Flake and STMP comply with the restrictions described above. Representative Flake may attend fundraising events

This \$5.000 limit is separate and distinct from the limitations on contributions to Representative Flake's PCC: thus, for example, it would be permissible for an individual to donate \$5.000 per calendar year to STMP and \$2.000 per election to Representative Flake's PCC.

19 One potentially significant difference between the two sections is the reference to the 'reporting

One potentially significant difference between the two sections is the reference to the "reporting requirements of the Act." which appears in section 441i(e)(1)(A) but not in section 441i(e)(1)(B). However, because STMP has not indicated any intent to accept "contributions" or make "expanditures," as defined in 2 U.S.C. 431(8) and (9), there is no basis to conclude that STMP has triggered or will trigger political committee status under 2 U.S.C. 431(4), which would subject it to the reporting requirements for political committees under 2 U.S.C. 434. It therefore appears that the only reporting requirements for funds raised and spent by STMP would arise from an electioneering communication, as discussed in the answer to question 17, below.

for STMP (question 9(a)), may appear as a featured guest at a STMP fundraiser (question 9(b)), may speak at STMP fundraising events (question 9(c)), may make fundraising telephone calls (question 9(d)), may sign fundraising letters for STMP (question 9(e)), and may host fundraising events for STMP (question 9(f)).

Fundraising if STMP Becomes a Tax-Exempt Organization

2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(4)(A) provides that, if a 501(c) organization satisfies certain conditions, a candidate for Federal office, an individual holding Federal office, or an agent of either (a "covered individual"), may make "general solicitations" or "specific solicitations" for the 501(c) organization.

A "general solicitation" is made without regard to the Act's amount limitations or source prohibitions. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(4)(A), 11 CFR 300.65(a); cf. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1). Such a "general solicitation" may be made on behalf of a 501(c) organization if two conditions are met: (1) the 501(c) organization does not have as its "principal purpose" engaging in FEA described in 2 U.S.C. 431(20)(A)(i) to (ii), and (2) the solicitation does not specify how the funds will or should be spent. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(4)(A). These two types of FEA are (i) voter registration within 120 days of a regularly scheduled Federal election, and (ii) voter identification, generic campaign activity, and GOTV "in connection with an election in which a candidate for Federal office appears on the ballot." 11 CFR 100.24(b)(1) and (2).

²⁰ Commission regulations define "voter registration activity," voter identification," "generic campaign activity," and "get-out-the-vote activity". "Voter registration activity" means contacting individuals by telephone, in person, or by other individualized means to assist them in registering to vote. 11 CFR 100.24(a)(2). "Voter identification" means creating or enhancing voter lists by verifying or adding information about the voters' likelihood of voting in an upcoming election or their likelihood of voting for specific candidates. 11 CFR 100.24(a)(4). "Generic campaign activity" means a public communication

A "specific solicitation" is one made only to individuals for amounts up to \$20,000 during any calendar year. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(4)(B); 11 CFR 300.65(b). Such "specific solicitations" may be made explicitly to obtain funds for carrying out the types of FEA described above, or may be made for a section 501(c) organization whose principal purpose is to conduct these types of FEA. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(4)(B).

The Commission concludes that a covered individual may not make a "general solicitation" or a "specific solicitation" for a 501(c) organization under 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(4) where the covered individual has "established, financed, maintained or controlled" the 501(c) organization under 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1). 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A), (B). The provisions of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(4) only apply to those 501(c) organizations that are not "established, financed, maintained or controlled" by a covered individual. Given that Representative Flake established STMP, he and STMP may only solicit up to \$5,000 per calendar year for STMP from any donor. The Commission notes, however, that a 501(c) organization will be not be treated as an entity "established, financed, maintained or controlled by" a covered individual solely because the covered individual attends fundraising events, and / or participates in, fundraising activities to some extent. 21 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1); 11 CFR 300.2(c).

[defined in 11 CFR 100.26 and discussed below] that promotes or opposes a political party and does not promote or oppose a clearly identified Federal or non-Federal candidate. 11 CFR 100.25. "Get-out-the-vote activity means contacting registered voters by telephone, in person, or by other individualized means to assist them in engaging in the act of voting, such as providing individual voters, within 72 hours of an election, information such as the election date, and the location and operating hours of polling places, and offering to transport, or actually transporting, voters to the polls. 11 CFR 100.24(a)(3).

A different result may occur if the covered individual is the source of a such a significant amount of funds for the 501(c) organization that the covered individual is effectively financing the organization. See generally 11 CFR 300.2(c)(2).

10. May STMP engage in ballot qualification activities, such as hiring consultants to draft the ballot measure, gathering signatures, maintaining a website, performing administrative tasks, and raising funds? Are there any restrictions imposed by the Act on STMP in engaging these ballot qualification activities? Does STMP's form of organization as a section 527 political organization, or as a section 501(c)(4) organization affect the answer to this question?

As to fundraising, see the response to question 9, above.

To the extent that the "signature-gathering and ballot qualification activities" about which you inquire are voter drive-type activities, see the response to question 11, below.

- 11. May staff hired by STMP and paid for with money legal under Arizona ballot initiative law, but not the Act,
- (a) Engage in voter registration activities for STMP paid for with non-federal funds for the November 2004 election where federal candidates will be on the ballot?

 Does STMP's form of organization as a section 527 political organization, or as a section 501(c)(4) organization affect the answer to this question?

Under the Act, as amended by BCRA, "voter registration activity" is FEA if it is conducted within 120 days of a regularly scheduled Federal election. 2 U.S.C. 431(20)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.24(b)(1). Both the November 2, 2004, general election and the September 7, 2004, primary election are regularly scheduled Federal elections, and therefore result in two overlapping 120-day periods. FEA conducted by a Federal candidate or officeholder, or an entity directly or indirectly established,

election).

financed, maintained, or controlled by a Federal candidate or officeholder, or an
agent of a Federal candidate or officeholder, must be paid for entirely with funds
subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act. 2
U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A); 11-CFR 300.61.
Because STMP is "established, financed, maintained, or controlled" by
Representative Flake, a Federal candidate and officeholder, STMP must comply with
2-U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A) and 11 CFR 300.61. This means that it must pay for all-activity
that constitutes FEA with funds subject to the limitations, prohibitions and reporting
requirements of the Act. Therefore, the answer to this question is "no" when the

voter registration activities are conducted between May 10, 2004 and November 2,

2004, which is within 120 days of a regularly scheduled Federal election in Arizona in

2004 (i.e., the September 7, 2004 primary election and the November 2, 2004 general

Before May 10, 2004, voter registration activity by STMP does not constitute
FEA, but because STMP will be raising and spending funds in connection with an
election other than an election for Federal office, the voter registration activities must
be paid for with funds that are raised and spent in compliance with the Act's amount
limitations and source prohibitions. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B) and 11 CFR 300.62.

(b) Engage in GOTV activities paid for with non-federal funds for that election?

Does STMP's form of organization as a section 527 political organization, or as a section 501(c)(4) organization affect the answer to this question?

3

4

5

6

7 8

10

11

9

13

14

12

15

17

18

16

19

21

20

response to question 9, above. Under the Act, as amended by BCRA, GOTV is a FEA-when it is "conducted in connection with an election in which a candidate for Federal office appears on the ballot-(regardless of whether a candidate for State or local office also appears on the ballot)." 2 U.S.C. 431(20)(A)(ii). The term "in connection with an election in which a eandidate for Federal office appears on the ballot" means "the period of time beginning on the date of the earliest filing deadline for access to the primary election ballot for Federal candidates as determined by State law," or January 1 of evennumbered years in States that do not conduct primaries. 11 CFR 100.24(a)(1). The answer to this question is "no" beginning on May 10, 2004, which is the eurliest filing deadline for primary election ballot access under Arizona law, and thus which is when the GOTV qualifies as a FEA. The reason for this answer is the same us the reason explained in the answer to question 11(a), which advises that voter registration activities must be paid for with Federal funds when they qualify as FEA. Prior to May 10, 2004, when the GOTV activity is not in connection with an election in which a candidate for Federal office appears on the ballot, STMP may use funds ruised in accordance with 11 CFR 300.62 for its GOTV activity. See, generally, the answer to question 11(a) above. 12. During the ballot initiative campaign phase, may Representative Flake publicly

advocate his support for the ballot repeal measure?

No. STMP may not raise money that is not legal under the Act. See the

1	Yes, merely advocating support of the measure does not trigger 2 U.S.C
2	441i(e). However, any solicitation of funds by Representative Flake's on STMP's
3	behalf must advocacy must not extend beyond this to become solicitations that do not
4	comply with section 441i(e)(1).
5	13(a). May Representative Flake raise funds for STMP for the ballot initiative
6	campaign?
7	Yes: see the response to question 9. above.
8	<u>13(b).</u> Does STMP's form of organization as a section 527 political organization, or as a
9	section 501(c)(4) organization affect the answer to this question?
10	No. Yes. See the response to question 9, above.
11	14. During the ballot initiative campaign phase, may Representative Flake appear at
12	fundraising events paid for by STMP with non-federal funds as a speaker or honored
13	guest?
14	STMP must may raise and spend only Federal funds for Federal election
15	activities, and may ruise funds subject to the limitations and prohibitions of the Act
16	thut not the reporting requirements) for the remainder of its activities in connection
17	with the ballot measure campaign. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A), (B) funds from individual:
18	or other federally permissible sources, in amounts that do not exceed \$5,000 per
19	donor in any calendar year; see the response to question 9, above. Therefore, to the
20	extent that the reference to-"non-federal funds" at issue do not comply with these
21	restrictions. in this question means funds not subject to the amount limitations and

source prohibitions of the Act, the answer is no.

22

1	15. With regard to the fundraising events referenced in question 14, are the following
2	activities permissible:
3	(a) May Representative Flake attend such events if he is not on the invitation and is not
4	introduced?
5	Yes; see generally Advisory Opinion 2003-03.
6	15. (b) May he be introduced at the event if he is not on the invitation?
7	Yes; see generally Advisory Opinion 2003-03.
8	15. (c) Is there any effect if the fact that he is a candidate on the ballot is or is not
9	mentioned?
10	No.
11	15. (d) Does STMP's form of organization as a section 527 political organization, or as
12	a section 501(c)(4) organization affect the answer to questions 15(a) – (c)?
13	No; see the answer to question 9, above.
14	16. May STMP conduct a "broad-based advertising campaign" in support of the ballot
15	measure, which will include public communications that clearly identify a Federal
16	candidate, and which will be distributed from the beginning of STMP's activities (which
17	will be more than 120 days before the election) through election day?
18	Yes, provided that the activities are paid for with funds from individuals or
19	other federally permissible sources, in amounts that do not exceed \$5,000 per donor
20	in any calendar year. See the answer to question 9, above. To determine whether
21	reporting requirements would apply, see the answer to question 17, below.

Under the Act, as amended by BCRA, a public communication 22 that clearly identifies a Federal candidate, and that "promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes" a Federal candidate, constitutes FEA, whether or not the communication expressly advocates a vote for or against a Federal candidate, and regardless of when the public communication is broadcast, distributed, or otherwise publicly disseminated. 2

U.S.C. 431(20)(A)(iii); 11 CFR 100.24(b)(3). Therefore, if one of STMP's public communications promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes one or more of the Federal candidates clearly identified in it, it will constitute FEA, and therefore will have to be paid for entirely with Federal funds. 2 U.S.C. 441i(c)(1)(A); 11 CFR 300.61.

It is not possible to address whether any of the planned public
communications promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes a clearly identified Federal
candidate because you have not supplied any further information about the content
of the planned-communications.

Even if the planned public communications do not promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate, the communications will be FEA if the communications qualify as voter registration activity within 120 days of a regularly scheduled Federal election (11 CFR 100.24(b)(1)) or as voter identification or GOTV activity in connection with an election in which a Federal candidate appears on the ballot (11 CFR 100.24(b)(2)). In either of these cases, the public communications will have to be paid for entirely with Federal funds. 2 U.S.C.

²² "Public communication" is defined in 11 CFR 100.26 as "a communication by means of any breadcast, eable, or satellite communication, newspaper, magazine, author advertising facility, mass mailing or telephone bank to the general public or any other form of general public political advertising. The term public communication shall not include communications over the Internet."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

441i(e)(4)(A); 11 CFR 300.61. Again, it is not possible to address this question further because you have not supplied any further information about the content or timing of the planned communications.

17. May STMP conduct "a broad-based advertising campaign" in support of the ballot measure that will include public communications that clearly identify a Federal candidate, and that will be broadcast to 50,000 or more people in either Representative Flake's congressional district, or Arizona voters in general?

This question turns on the status of STMP's communications as "electioneering communications" under 2 U.S.C. 434(f). Subject to certain exceptions, an "electioneering communication" is any broadcast, cable or satellite communication that refers to a clearly identified candidate for Federal office, and is publicly distributed for a fee within 60 days of a general, special or runoff election for the office sought by the candidate, or within 30 days of a primary or preference election for the office sought by the candidate, and, in the case of a communication which refers to a candidate for office other than President or Vice President, is targeted to the relevant electorate. 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.29(a) and (b). "Targeted to the relevant electorate" means that the communication can be received by 50,000 or more persons in the district the candidate seeks to represent, in the case of a candidate for the House of Representatives, or in the State the candidate seeks to represent, in the case of a candidate for Senate. 11 CFR 100.29(b)(5). The legislative history indicates that the electioneering communications provisions, set out at 2 U.S.C. 434(f) and 441b(b)(2), are designed to ensure that such communications are

not paid for by corporations and labor organizations and are reported by persons who make them. "Electioneering Communications; Final Rules," 67 Fed. Reg. 65,190 (October 23, 2002).

You state that STMP will engage in a "broad-based advertising campaign" through broadcast communications to the general public. You have not inquired about advertising in other media. These communications will clearly identify a Federal officeholder and/or candidate for Federal office, likely to be Senator McCain or Representative Flake, or both. You state that the communications will be publicly distributed within 60 days of the November 2, 2004 general election, and 30 days before the September 7, 2004 Arizona primary election, and will be "targeted to the relevant electorate" within the meaning of 11 CFR 100.29(b)(5) because they can be received by 50,000 or more persons in Representative Flake's congressional district or throughout the State. Accordingly, these STMP communications will be electioneering communications, as defined in 11 CFR 100.29(a).

Funds from national banks, corporations, labor organizations or foreign nationals must-may not be used to pay for electioneering communications under BCRA's amendments to 2 U.S.C. 441b. 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2); 441e(a)(2); 11 CFR 114.2. See also "Electioneering Communications; Final Rules," 67 Fed. Reg. 65,190, 65,203 (October 23, 2002).

ê

The Commission concludes that STMP may broadcast the communications 1 2 3 response to 4 5 6 7 $(4).^{23}$ 8 9 10 11 12 CFR 104.20. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 of a broadcast, cablecast, or satellite-delivered communication. 20

described in this question. Assuming STMP follows Commission guidance in question 9, it will have only permissible funds to pay for these communications. See 2 U.S.C. 441b and 441e, and 11 CFR 114.14. STMP's form of organization as an unincorporated section 527 organization or as an unincorporated section 501(c) organization does not affect the answer to this question. See 2 U.S.C. 441b(c)(2) to STMP must disclose, among other things, persons sharing or exercising direction or control over the activities of STMP, as well as certain payments for electioneering communications and certain donors to STMP. See 2 U.S.C. 434(f); 11 18. May Representative Flake and his agents be involved in the creation, production, and distribution of the public communications that STMP intends to include in its broad-based advertising campaign supporting the ballot measure? This would include involvement in decisions regarding: the contents, means, or mode of the communications, the specific media outlets used, the timing or frequency of the communications, the size or prominence of a printed communication, and the duration Yes; the consequences of doing are based on the legal principles discussed in

the responses to questions 3 and 5, above.

²³ You state that STMP is unincorporated. If STMP were to incorporate (e.g., become an incorporated section 501(c)(4) organization), then it could not make electioneering communications unless it were a qualified non-profit corporation ("QNC"). 11 CFR 114.2(b)(2)(iii) and 114.10.

1	1
2	l
3	l
4	
5	١
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
1 1	۱
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	ì
17	
18	
19	
20	

22

19. May Representative Flake play a role in selecting the media firm used to create STMP's public communications and to receive his and his agents ideas for specific scripts and copy?

Yes; the consequences of doing are based on the legal principles discussed in the responses to questions 3 and 5, above.

20. May an independent consultant hired by STMP for its referendum ads also assist in making ads advocating Representative Flake's election for his authorized committee where each committee would independently pay the consultant the fair market value of his services?

Yes; the consequences of doing are based on the legal principles discussed in the responses to questions 3 and 5, above.

21. May an independent consultant who has been hired by Rep. Flake's authorized committee also assist STMP with its public communications?

Yes; the consequences of doing are based on the legal principles discussed in the responses to questions 3 and 5, above.

22. May an independent consultant to STMP discuss STMP's public communications with any consultant in Arizona who is working for any Federal candidate's authorized committee?

The Commission cannot address this question without further information regarding the discussions. This question is hypothetical, and presents a general question of interpretation of the Act, rather than a specific transaction or activity, and is thus not proper for an advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).

1	23.
2	plan
3	cam
4	
5	rega
6	ques
7	and
8	24.
9	und
10	orga
11	orga
12	(
13 -	(
14	(
15	•
16	
17	
18	eon
19	
20	Rep
•	١.

23. May an independent consultant to STMP discuss STMP's communications and plans with another independent consultant whose clients include a 2004 presidential campaign or the Arizona or Republican or Democratic Party?

The Commission cannot address this question without further information regarding the discussions. This question is hypothetical, and presents a general question of interpretation of the Act, rather than a specific transaction or activity, and is thus not proper for an advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).

24. May any of the following messages be paid for by STMP exclusively with funds legal under Arizona law but not permissible under the Act? Does STMP's form of organization as a section 527 political organization, or as a section 501(c)(4) organization affect the answer to this question?

- (a) A message that says, "Support Ballot Measure X."
- (b) A message that says, "Support Ballot Measure X. Go vote on November 2."
- (c) A message that says, "Support Ballot Measure X and State Senator Jones and

 State Representative Smith by voting on November 2."
 - No: see the answer to question 9, above.

The Commission assumes that the proposed messages will be public communications as defined in 2 U.S.C. 431(22) and 11 CFR 100.26.

Because STMP is "established, financed, maintained, or controlled" by

Representative Flake (see the response to question 1.b., above), it is precluded from raising or spending funds in excess of the amount limitations of, or from prohibited sources under, the Act. Sec 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B). Given that STMP is precluded

1	from raising or spending funds in excess of the amount limitations or from prohibited
2	sources under the Act, it will have no such funds in its accounts. Accordingly, the
3	Commission does not address this question as to the content of the specific messages
4	STMP wishes to broadcast.
5	25. May a combination of State funds and Levin Account funds pay for public
6	communications by STMP?
7	No. Only State, district, and local political parties committees may raise and
8	spend Levin funds. 2 U.S.C. 441i(b)(2); 11 CFR 300.2(h) and (i); 11 CFR 300.30 to
9	300.36.
10	26. May STMP's staff communicate about STMP's activities and plans with the
11	Republican and Democratic state parties, county parties, or local parties?
12	It is not possible to answer this question without further information about th
13	subject, timing, and actions taken as a result of the "communications." As presented
14	this question is hypothetical, and calls for general interpretation of the Act, and is
15	thus not proper for an advisory opinion. 11 CFR 112.1(b).
16	The Commission expresses no opinion regarding qualification for tax-exempt
17	status under 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(4) or any other ramifications of the proposed activities
18	under the Internal Revenue Code because those questions are outside the
19	Commission's jurisdiction.
20	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of
21	the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in
22	vone request See 2 II S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that if there is a

change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions
are material to a conclusion presented in this opinion, then the requestor may not rely
on that conclusion as support for its proposed activity. The Commission notes that
this advisory opinion analyzes the Act, as amended by BCRA, and Commission
regulations, including those promulgated to implement the BCRA amendments, as
they pertain to your proposed activities. On May 1, 2003, a three-judge panel of the
United States District Court for the District of Columbia ruled that a number of
BCRA provisions are unconstitutional and issued an order enjoining the
enforcement, execution, or other application of those provisions. McConnell v. FEC,
251 F.Supp. 2d 176 (D.D.C. May 1, 2003), probable jurisdiction noted, 123 S.Ct. 2268
(U.S. June 5, 2003). Subsequently, the District Court stayed its order and injunction
in McConnell v. FEC, 253 F.Supp. 2d 18 (D.D.C. May 19, 2003). The Commission
cautions that the legal analysis in this advisory opinion may be affected by the
eventual decision of the Supreme Court.
Sincerely,
Ellen L. Weintraub Chair
Enclosures (AOs 2003-3, 1991-12, 1990-16, 1989-32, 1987-12, 1984-62, 1984-46, 1984-3, 1982-10, 1980-95 and 1978-12)