Forwarded by Michael Toner/FEC/US on 04/09/2003 04:18 PM ------

"Baran, Jan" <jbaran@wrf.com> on 04/04/2003 05:01:40 PM



To: mtoner@fec.gov

CC:

Subject: FW: New NC Code of Judicial Conduct

Michael,

The attached came to my attention for purposes of reviewing the judicial canons in light of the SCOTUS decision in MN GOP v White. However, I was struck by the very specific definition that the North Carolina Supreme Court drafted for the term "solicit." It can be found at page 10. I though it might be of interest to you and even possibly relevant to those who seem to be struggling with the word. I commend it to you.

Jan

-----Original Message-----From: Andersen, Seth [mailto:AnderseS@staff.abanet.org] Sent: Friday, April 04, 2003 3:55 PM To: Baran, Jan; Bedke, Rachelle DesVaux; Harkness, John F.; Houser, Douglas; May, D. Bruce; Moxley, Paul; Oldham, D. Dudley; Osman, Edith G.; Smith, Dwight L.; Verkuil, Paul; Wynn, Hon. James A.; Zelon, Hon. Laurie Cc: Caldwell, Ulanda; Gallagher, Eileen Subject: FW: New NC Code of Judicial Conduct

Judge Jim Wynn asked that his following message regarding revisions to the North Carolina Code of Judicial Conduct be forwarded to the members of the Standing Committee on Judicial Independence.

Judge Wynn's original message: .

Two days ago, our Supreme Court issued amendments to our Code. I was surprised by the scope of the amendments particularly under Canon 7; I was not

asked for any input into this product, nor do I believe the Court considered our proposals regarding the Model Code.

I would greatly appreciate your observations and thoughts on the broadness of this Code. I do not believe any other State has gone this far in expanding the reach of Mn. v. White.

Best,

Jim Wynn

- C.htm

----

- NC Judicial Code 4-2-2003.pdf