RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARIAT

APR 13 5 03 PH '95



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

April 13, 1995

MEMORANDUM The Commissi TO: THROUGH: John C. Sutina Staff Director FROM: Lawrence M. Noble General Counsel N. Bradley Litchfield Associate General Counses Jonathan M. Levin Senior Attorney

SUBJECT: Draft AO 1995-2

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion.

We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for April 20, 1995.

AGENDAITEM For Meeting of: _____APR 2 0 1995

Attachment

Celebrating the Commission's 20th Anniversary

YESTERDAY, TODAY AND TOMORROW DEDICATED TO KEEPING THE PUBLIC INFORMED

ADVISORY OPINION 1995-2

Peter H. Rodgers Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2404

Dear Mr. Rodgers:

This responds to your letters dated January 4 and March 8, 1995, on behalf of the New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") and NYMEX Political Action Committee ("NYMEX PAC") which request an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and Commission regulations to the proposed solicitation by NYMEX PAC of certain members of the Commodity Exchange ("COMEX") Division of NYMEX.

DRAFT

NYMEX is the nation's third largest future's exchange, the world's largest energy commodities market, and the world's most active precious metals market. It operates through two trading divisions, the NYMEX Division and the COMEX Division. The COMEX Division, which trades primarily metals contracts, was an independent exchange known as Commodity Exchange, Inc., until August 3, 1994, when it became a subsidiary of NYMEX. COMEX continues to trade its old contracts and certain new contracts of both divisions. You state that COMEX Division Regular Members, one of the classes of membership in the division, have an economic stake in NYMEX generally based on the future economic success of both NYMEX trading divisions.

In Advisory Opinion 1994-34, the Commission analyzed the

28 29 30

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

issues of whether natural persons who were COMEX Division Regular Members were "members" of COMEX under the Act and were therefore solicitable for contributions to NYMEX PAC. The Commission concluded that the economic interests of these persons constituted a sufficient financial attachment so that they qualified as members under the Act. The opinion also stated that, since COMEX, as a subsidiary and division of NYMEX, was affiliated with NYMEX, the COMEX Regular Members were solicitable for contributions to NYMEX PAC.

You state that, of the 772 COMEX Division Regular memberships, 265 are held by individuals on behalf of member firms. These individuals are not beneficial owners of their seats. The status of such individuals under the Act was specifically excluded from the request and analysis in Advisory Opinion 1994-34. You now ask whether such persons may be solicited for contributions to NYMEX PAC (just as other COMEX Division regular members who own their seats as individuals).

Individuals who hold membership on behalf of member firms have entered into an "A-B-C Agreement" with the trading firm that employs him or her. The firm provides funds to the employee to purchase, or finance the purchase of, a membership. The agreement sets out the respective rights of the firm and the individual in the membership. According to the sample agreement provided by you, the individual contributes to the firm "the use of the membership" and agrees that "he will not sell, transfer or in any way

30

encumber the Membership" and will use the membership only in furtherance of the firm's business. The agreement states that all benefits to be derived from the membership "shall accrue to the Firm." All dues, fines, assessments , and other expenses of maintaining the membership are paid by the firm as a firm expenses, and "[i]nsofar as it is necessary for the protection of creditors of the Firm, the proceeds of the Membership [are] considered assets of the Firm subject to the By-Laws and Rules of COMEX. " $\frac{1}{}$ The agreement further provides that the individual agree, upon the occurrence of certain events, to pay the proceeds that are derived from the sale or other disposition of his seat to the firm, or to transfer the seat to the firm's designee. These events typically include termination of the firm membership through dissolution of the firm or other event, the individual's death, or the individual's resignation from the firm.

You state that a member firm has membership conferred upon it by one individual member holding two memberships or two individual members (the "conferring members," who are the individual parties to the A-B-C Agreements). These individuals must be approved for membership by the Admissions

30

^{1/} Terms of the A-B-C Agreement may vary. You have indicated that some agreements permit use of the membership by the individual for trading for his or her personal profit. In addition, COMEX Rules submitted by you state that a member firm will be responsible for payment of fines imposed upon a member who has conferred membership privileges on the firm (i.e., the individual) and the individual will be responsible for payment of fines imposed upon the firm. Rule 2.32(e)(ii).

Committee and Board of the Exchange. At least one of these individuals must be a senior officer of the firm or (in the case of a partnership) the partner responsible for commodity activities, or a senior executive officer or general partner who is authorized by virtue of his or her position to participate meaningfully in the firm's policy-making decisions and operations. $\frac{2}{1}$ If one individual is neither of these (assuming two or more individual members), he or she will customarily be an expert with respect to the firm's trading in the COMEX Division. In contrast to other employees and officers of member firms who may have an indirect interest in COMEX trading, "all seatholders generally are specialists or senior managers who know or work regularly in the COMEX Division." Generally, the conferring individuals are the persons in their respective firms who have the most to gain or lose in the success or failure of the exchange. In addition, most of these members earn their livings directly in the trading pits.

Under 2 U.S.C. §441b(b)(4)(A)(i), a corporation, or a separate segregated fund established by a corporation, may solicit contributions to such a fund only from its stockholders and their families and its executive and administrative personnel and their families. An exception set forth in 2 U.S.C. §441b(b)(4)(C) and 11 CFR 114.7(a) allows a membership organization (among other corporations

2/ The general partner should also have a substantial Investment in the partnership relative to the other partners.

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

without capital stock), or its separate segregated fund, to solicit contributions to the fund from the members of the organization and their families. The Commission's regulations use the term "membership association" to implement this exception. It is defined, in part, as a membership organization that (i) expressly provides for "members" in its articles and bylaws; (ii) expressly solicits members; and (iii) expressly acknowledges the acceptance of membership, such as by sending a membership card or inclusion on a membership newsletter list. 11 CFR 100.8(b)(4)(iv)(A)(1), (2), and (3); 114.1(e)(1)(i), (ii), and (iii). ÷.

The Commission regulations provide guidance as to the definition of the term "members." Members" means all persons who are currently satisfying the requirements for membership in a membership association, who affirmatively accept the membership association's invitation to become a member, and who meet one of the following requirements:

(i) Have some significant financial attachment to the membership association, such as a significant investment or ownership stake (but not merely the payment of dues);

(ii) Are required to pay on a regular basis a specific amount of dues that is predetermined by the association and are entitled to vote directly either for at least one member who has full participatory and voting rights on the highest governing body of the membership association, or for those who select at least one member of those on the highest

30

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

governing body of the membership association; or

(iii) Are entitled to vote directly for all of those on the highest governing body of the membership association. 11 CFR 100.8(b)(4)(B) and 114.1(e)(2). نر

The regulations also provide that the Commission "may determine, on a case by case basis, that persons seeking to be considered members of a membership association for purposes of this section have significant organizational and financial attachment to the association under circumstances that do not precisely meet the requirements of the general rule." See 11 CFR 100.8(b)(4)(C) and 114.1(e)(3).

Advisory Opinion 1994-34 specifically concluded that COMEX was a membership organization for purposes of the Act. COMEX bylaws expressly provide for membership, and membership is explicitly recognized through the granting to members of significant trading rights in commodity exchange contracts. In addition, COMEX has specifically solicited persons to become members. The factors that served as a basis for concluding that the regular members had significant financial attachments to COMEX included: (1) extensive trading rights derived from holding the seat, (2) the fact that the market value of a seat was approximately \$125,000; and (3) rights to "deferred cash payments and pro rata shares of "deferred ticker based payments". In addition, COMEX regular members, while not possessing the right to vote for directors [hence, falling short of membership under 11 CFR 114.1(e)(2)(ii) and (iii)], have the right to vote in certain situations to

28 29

1

2

3

6

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

protect their financial interests.

As indicated above, while the general issue of membership for COMEX Regular Members is resolved, the issue still remains as to who is the solicitable member in the case of memberships beneficially owned by firms. The Explanation and Justification for the membership regulations reaffirms the Commission's past view that "since only one membership exists for each seat on a stock exchange or comparable organization, only one person per seat should be considered a member for section 441b(b)(4)(C) purposes." Commission Regulations on the Definition of "Member," Explanation and Justification, 58 Fed. Reg. 45770, 45773 (August 30, 1993). See Advisory Opinions 1988-39, 1988-38, and 1987-31. See also Advisory Opinion 1994-34. r'

p.?

Although the firm provides funds to the individual to purchase the COMEX Division membership, membership is "conferred" upon these firms by the individual member. According to the sample A-B-C agreement, the firm is responsible for paying all dues, fines, assessments, and other expenses. Nevertheless, the financial stake of the individuals is considerable. The individual members holding on behalf of the firm are within the group of persons that has a greater stake in the success of the COMEX Division than others associated with the firm. Furthermore, these persons

most likely earn their living in the trading pits. $\frac{3}{2}$

In addition, as members holding seats on behalf of the firm, these persons may have personal trading privileges in the COMEX Division and the NYMEX Division for their own profit, if the A-B-C agreements with their firms permit this. Those persons would also enjoy lower fees on such personal trades as a result of the performance of the Exchange. Regardless of the ability to make personal trades for their own profit, members holding seats on behalf of firms participate in programs providing insurance and retirement benefits.

As is the case with the other Regular Members of the COMEX Division, individuals holding memberships on behalf of firms do not have the right to vote for members of the Board, and hence, their membership status could not be based on governance rights. Nevertheless, they may exercise voting rights that protect the trading privileges and seat value underlying their economic stake. For example, NYMEX may not increase the number of COMEX Division memberships (thus diluting the trading value) without a majority vote of COMEX

3/ The financial stake of the individuals is further manifested by provisions in COMEX rules as to the treatment of "failed" firms, e.g., firms that are in bankruptcy, firms that have failed to fulfill an award in arbitration, or firms that are unable to fulfill obligations to other members or member firms or obligations of doing business on the Exchange. If a firm enjoying membership privileges or a conferring individual fails, the privileges of the firm will be revoked and the membership of the conferring individual will be suspended. In addition, all of the firm's remaining officers or directors, or general partners, who are members may be suspended from membership. Rule 2.43(c)(2).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Division Regular members. Pre-merger COMEX Regular Member trading privileges may not be significantly reduced or terminated without a majority vote (two-thirds vote for allowance of certain competing contracts) of COMEX Regular Members. A two-thirds majority of such members is required to allow new persons to trade contracts historically traded on COMEX. As to each of these issues, individuals holding seats on behalf of member firms have all the voting rights of individual members. Those holding on behalf of firms also vote for the COMEX Governors Committee which advises the COMEX Board on matters uniquely pertinent to COMEX Division Members. Among the committee's powers is the requirement of its consent before the COMEX Board may levy dues and assessments. e.

تر

The foregoing information indicates that individuals holding seats on behalf of member firms have considerable economic interests in COMEX. The situation of these persons is comparable to "nominees," a category of membership in the Chicago Board of Options Exchange ("CBOE") discussed in Advisory Opinion 1987-31. The opinion considered a membership organization that operated as an exchange for the trading of valuable property interests. The entities owning seats on the exchange were required to designate a nominee for each seat or membership owned by the entity. (This nominee was subject to the same approval requirements for membership as applied to any individual member and was authorized to represent the firm in all matters related to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

the CBOE.) Nominees were liable for payment of dues, but their member firm might also be liable. The Commission concluded that, since the relevant participatory rights, responsibilities, and financial obligations had been shifted to the nominees, the nominees were solicitable members. The individual holding the COMEX seat on behalf of the member firm has more significant attachments to COMEX than those held by the CBOE nominee group as regards CBOE. $\frac{4}{4}$ Although the firm finances the purchase of the membership, the individual "contributes" the use of his or her membership to the firm and, thereby, confers membership privileges on the In addition, COMEX rules require that at least one of firm. the two individual memberships required for a firm to have membership privileges must be a senior executive officer whose position enables him or her to participate in the firm's policy-making and operations or a senior officer responsible for commodities activities. The other members are customarily experts in the firm's COMEX trading. There is no indication in Advisory Opinion 1987-31 that the nominee was someone who already held such a prescribed or otherwise significant position. $\frac{5}{}$

5/ In this respect, the individuals at issue in this opinion bear a similarity to the "registered for's" of the CBOE. Those were individual CBOE members or applicants who intended to become or were controlling persons with respect to firms and who registered their memberships for such firms. According to the COMEX requirements, it is likely that many

^{4/} COMEX does not assess dues at this time. If it does so in the future, the sample A-B-C agreement indicates that the firm will pay them.

The individual member holding on behalf of the firm exercises trading rights and has a role in protecting those trading rights. In addition, these members come largely from a group that already has a large stake in the affairs of COMEX. Given the considerable economic interests of individuals holding seats on behalf of firms, the Commission concludes that such individuals, not the firms themselves, are solicitable members of COMEX for purposes of the Act. In view of the affiliated status of COMEX and NYMEX, as demonstrated in Advisory Opinion 1994-34, these persons may be solicited for contributions to NYMEX PAC.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning application of the Act, or regulations prescribed by the Commission, to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. §437f.

Sincerely,

Danny L. McDonald Chairman

Enclosures (AOs 1994-34, 1988-39, 1988-38, and 1987-31)

(Footnote 5 continued from previous page) of the individuals conferring membership are in similar controlling positions.

The individuals holding seats on behalf of the firms are distinguishable from the lessees discussed in Advisory Opinion 1994-34 who may be solicitable members, depending upon their assumption of the obligation to pay dues and other assessments. Unlike a lessee, the type of individual at issue in this request confers the use of his membership on the firm. An arrangement enabling a firm to be a member firm of an exchange through the membership of an individual is different from an arrangement whereby one natural person receives rent from another natural person so that the latter may trade on the exchange.

29

30

1

- 2

3

4

5

6

7

8